

Hood River Subbasin Plan

Including

Lower Oregon Columbia Gorge Tributaries

May 28, 2004

Submitted to
the Northwest Power and Conservation Planning Council

Writer/editor

Holly Coccoli
Hood River Soil and Water Conservation District

List of Contributors

Gary Asbride US Forest Service
Chuti Fieldler, US Forest Service
Catherine J. Flick, US Forest Service
Bonnie Lamb, Oregon Department of Environmental Quality
Erik Olsen, Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife
Phil Roger, Columbia Intertribal Fisheries Commission
Alexis Vaivoda, Confederated Tribes of the Warm Springs of Oregon
Mick Jennings, Confederated Tribes of the Warm Springs of Oregon
Rod French, Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife

Table of Contents

	Page No.
1. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY.....	1
2. INTRODUCTION.....	3
3. HOOD RIVER SUBBASIN ASSESSMENT.....	7
Subbasin Overview.....	7
Focal Species Characterization and Status.....	23
Out of Subbasin Effects.....	67
Environment and Population Relationships.....	71
Limiting Factors and Conditions.....	91
Synthesis and Interpretation.....	94
4. LOWER OREGON COLUMBIA GORGE TRIBUTARIES ASSESSMENT.....	101
Subbasin Overview.....	101
Focal Species Characterization and Status.....	112
Out of Subbasin Effects.....	134
Environment and Population Relationships.....	135
Limiting Factors and Conditions.....	141
Synthesis and Interpretation.....	143
5. INVENTORY OF EXISTING ACTIVITIES	148
6. MANAGEMENT PLAN FOR HOOD RIVER SUBBASIN.....	175
Vision for the Subbasin.....	176
Biological Objectives.....	178
Prioritized Strategies.....	190
Consistency with ESA and Clean Water Act Requirements.....	198
Research, Monitoring and Evaluation.....	200
7. MANAGEMENT PLAN FOR LOWER OREGON COLUMBIA GORGE TRIBUTARIES.....	210
Vision for the Subbasin.....	210
Biological Objectives.....	211
Prioritized Strategies.....	214
Consistency with ESA and Clean Water Act Requirements.....	219
Research, Monitoring and Evaluation.....	220

References.....222

APPENDICES

Appendix A- Maps

Appendix B- Aquatic Supplement

Appendix C- Wildlife Supplement

List of Tables

List of Figures

List of Tables

Table 1. Land ownership in the Hood River Subbasin

Table 2. List of fish species present in the Hood River Subbasin.

Table 3. Fish and wildlife species listed as threatened in the Hood River subbasin.

Table 4. Selected wildlife species that are known to occur in the Hood River subbasin that are recognized as rare, uncommon and/or sensitive.

Table 5. Focal species list and selection criteria for the Hood River Subbasin

Table 6. Focal wildlife species in the Hood River Subbasin and associated IBIS vegetative land cover types.

Table 7. Comparison of subbasin habitat production potential estimates from three different models to actual steelhead juvenile migrant trap data in the mainstem Hood River at river mile 4.5.

Table 8. Comparison of subbasin habitat production potential estimates from three different models to actual chinook juvenile migrant trap data in the mainstem Hood River at river mile 4.5.

Table 9. Current high lake stocking program in the Hood River subbasin.

Table 10. Current target anadromous fish releases in the Hood River.

Table 11. Historic releases of anadromous fish in Hood River subbasin streams.

Table 12. Hatchery summer steelhead Columbia and Hood River harvest, 1996-2000.

Table 13. Annual estimates of harvest rate on hatchery winter steelhead in the Hood River

Table 14. Estimates of in-river sport catch of salmon and steelhead obtained from punch card returns.

Table 15. Partial list of introduced non-native animal species in the Hood River subbasin

Table 16. Current and historic land cover types for focal wildlife species in the Hood River Subbasin as indicated by the IBIS map data.

Table 17. Mapped human travel corridors in the Hood River subbasin by 6 HUC watersheds.

Table 18. EDT estimates of adult focal species population metrics based on current and template conditions in the Hood River Subbasin

Table 19. EDT estimates of juvenile focal species population metrics based on current and template conditions in the Hood River Subbasin.

Table 20. Summary of the primary limiting factors or key environmental correlates identified by EDT for focal species by life stage.

Table 21. Current adult abundance (estimated by EDT) and the estimated percent increase in abundance for the 6 scenarios modeled for four focal species in the Hood River subbasin.

Table 22. Current juvenile outmigrant abundance (estimated by EDT) and the estimated percent increase in abundance for the 6 scenarios modeled for four focal species in the Hood River subbasin.

Table 23. Land ownership in the Lower Oregon Columbia Gorge Tributaries Watershed

Table 24. Streamflow regime by REO 2003 Sixth Hydrologic Unit Code subwatersheds.

Table 25. Stream survey information with notes on barriers and flow regime

Table 26. Fish and wildlife species designated as Threatened or Endangered in Lower Oregon Columbia Gorge Tributaries Watershed.

Table 27. List of selected wildlife species considered rare or significant to the Lower Oregon Columbia Gorge Tributaries Watershed.

Table 28. Focal species list and selection criteria for the Lower Oregon Columbia Gorge Tributaries watershed.

Table 29. . Focal wildlife species and land cover or subcover types in the Lower Oregon Columbia Gorge Tributaries

Table 30. Stream Habitat loss and changes in anadromous fish distribution due to human disturbance.

Table 31. Lake stocking information for the Lower Oregon Columbia Gorge Tributaries Watershed.

Table 32. Average estimated recreational harvest of salmon and steelhead trout in Herman and Eagle creeks, 1976-1994.

Table 33. Selected stream habitat survey information for major anadromous streams in the Lower Oregon Columbia Gorge Tributaries watershed

Table 34. Partial list of introduced non-native animal species in the Columbia Gorge Subbasin

Table 35. Current and historic land cover or habitat types for focal species in the Lower Oregon Columbia Gorge Tributaries watershed.

Table 36. Human travel corridors in the Lower Oregon Columbia Gorge Tributaries by 6 Level HUC watersheds

Table 37. Assumptions about optimal habitat characteristics for steelhead and rainbow trout.

Table 38. Overall percentage of land in each Land Protection Status category based on NWHI map layers and definitions

Table 39. Instream water rights established by the State of Oregon in Hood River County.

Table 40. Proposed hatchery and harvest strategies before/after Powerdale Dam removal scheduled in 2010

List of Figures

Figure 1. Location of Hood River Subbasin in Oregon.

Figure 2. Flow duration statistics for the Hood River based on daily discharges at U.S.G.S. Gage 14120000 Hood River at Tucker Bridge

Figure 3. Stream segments where 1998 Oregon temperature standards are exceeded.

Figure 4. Relation of the Hood Subbasin to the Columbia Gorge Province.

Figure 5. Mt. Hood Recovery Bull Trout Recovery Unit within the Columbia River Distinct Population Segment.

Figure 6. Number of adult hatchery and wild adult winter steelhead captured at Powerdale Dam for run years 1994-2001.

Figure 7. Number of adult hatchery and wild adult summer steelhead captured at Powerdale Dam for run years 1992-2001.

Figure 8. Number of adult hatchery and wild spring chinook captured at Powerdale Dam for run years 1992-2001.

Figure 9. Adult bull trout captured in the Powerdale Dam trap for years 1992 to 2003.

Figure 10. Annual counts of adult cutthroat trout captured at Powerdale Dam 1992-2004.

Figure 11. Wild and hatchery winter steelhead adult return to Powerdale Dam

Figure 12. Total harvest of spring chinook in the Hood River subbasin, including ocean and Columbia River harvest

Figures 13-16. Relative Importance of Geographic Areas for Protection and Restoration Measures for Steelhead and Chinook focal species

Figure 17. Hood River Summer Steelhead Protection and Restoration Strategic Priority Summary

Figure 18. Hood River Winter Steelhead Protection and Restoration Strategic Priority Summary

Figure 19. Hood River Spring Chinook Protection and Restoration Strategic Priority Summary

Figure 20. Hood River Fall Chinook Protection and Restoration Strategic Priority Summary

Figure 21. Number of steelhead smolts versus streamflow at Tucker Bridge during late summer and early fall rearing in the year prior to outmigration

Figure 22. Relation of the Columbia Gorge Subbasin to the Columbia River Basin.

Figure 23. Relation of the Lower Oregon Columbia Tributaries watershed to the Columbia Gorge Subbasin.

1. Executive Summary

The Subbasin Plan defines fish and wildlife goals, objectives, and strategies for the Hood River Subbasin and the adjacent Lower Oregon Columbia Gorge Tributaries for the next 10 to 15 years. The plan will be submitted to the Northwest Power Planning Council for adoption under the Council's Fish and Wildlife Program. Its purpose is to help direct Bonneville Power Administration funding to projects that address fish and wildlife populations adversely impacted by the Columbia River hydropower system. The Subbasin Plan components are a 1) Assessment, or evaluation of current and historic biological and physical conditions; 2) Inventory of existing fish and wildlife programs and measures; and 3) Management Plan outlining measurable biological objectives and prioritized strategies to meet those objectives. Given major differences in land use and ecosystem characteristics between the Hood River Subbasin and the Lower Oregon Columbia Gorge Tributaries planning units, a separate Assessment and Management Plan was prepared for each of these areas.

In the Hood River Subbasin, chronic human-caused habitat disturbances are believed to intensify and prolong the effects of frequent large scale natural disturbances leading to population declines in the focal species bull trout, spring chinook, fall chinook, and summer and winter steelhead. Key limiting factors for chinook and steelhead included flow, channel stability, habitat diversity, key habitat quantity, and sediment load. The scheduled removal of the Powerdale Hydroelectric Project and dam in 2010, and restoration of physical habitat connectivity for adult and juvenile life stages at other dams and diversions have the potential to substantially increase the survival of focal species in the Hood River. Six habitat restoration scenarios were run for salmon and steelhead using the Ecosystems Diagnosis and Treatment model developed by Moberg Biometrics, Inc. The largest predicted increase in spawner and juvenile outmigrant production for all species from a single restoration action was the Large Woody Debris restoration scenario. This scenario resulted in a 39% increase in summer steelhead smolts ranging up to a 365% increase for spring chinook. However, other assessment information indicates that flow restoration and fish passage will have significant positive effects on population abundance.

Preventing further losses of big game winter range, including oak, pine and grassland habitats for focal species lark sparrow and gray squirrel, was found to be important to the populations and persistence of many focal species. Wildlife corridors and habitat connectivity need to be maintained and actions taken to insure that movements and dispersal of wildlife can occur in the future. The spatial and temporal needs of wildlife need to be defined and considered to insure that increasing backcountry recreation and land development does not degrade available forest habitats and adversely affect populations, and biodiversity can be maintained.

For the Gorge Tributaries Planning area, retention and enhancement of bottomland hardwood stands, nest cavity development for purple martin, protection of large conifer and cottonwood perch and nest trees, and increasing aquatic and wildlife connectivity

across the Interstate 84/Union Pacific Railroad corridor were the priorities. Managing or preventing recreational disturbance near bald eagle nest trees and forage areas on sandbars was also identified as a need if bald eagle presence in the Gorge is to be maintained and enhanced. Fire fuels reduction plans in the urban-interface areas may better integrate wildlife habitat diversity needs, and mimic some of the results of natural fire processes.

2. Introduction

2.1 Description of Planning Entity

The plan was developed in collaboration with local communities and interests, state and federal agencies, the Mt. Hood National Forest-U.S. Forest Service, and the Confederated Tribes of the Warm Springs Reservation. It is intended to be consistent with requirements of Endangered Species Act recovery plans, Clean Water Act plans, tribal trust responsibilities and treaty rights, the Northwest Forest Plan, the Oregon Plan for Salmon and Watersheds, local land use plans, and Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife basin plans and rules.

In late 2002, the Northwest Power Planning Council contracted with the Hood River Soil and Water Conservation District (SWCD) to serve as the fiscal and contract manager for the subbasin plan. Formed in 1953 under ORS Chapter 568, the mission of the SWCD is to provide educational, technical, and financial assistance to Hood River County landowners in order to protect, conserve, and restore natural resources. Five publicly elected directors serve on the District Board. The SWCD employs a 3 person staff including a Manager, Watershed Coordinator, and Agricultural Technician. The SWCD works closely with landowners, Oregon Department of Agriculture, Oregon State University Extension, and the Natural Resources Conservation Service to implement agricultural water quality, erosion control, and irrigation efficiency technical and cost-share programs, cooperative projects, and related public education. The SWCD formed the Hood River Watershed Group (council) in 1993 and serves as its fiscal manager.

The SWCD formed a Subbasin Planning Team to develop the plan. The Team included representatives from the Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife (ODFW), Confederated Tribes of the Warm Springs Reservation of Oregon (CTWSRO), Hood River Watershed Group (HRWG), and the U.S. Forest Service (USFS) including the Mt. Hood National Forest and the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area. A Technical Work Group assisted the Planning Team by developing an ecosystem model for the Hood River, and contributing data, analyses, and reviews. An Advisory Committee of local government officials, business, and other stakeholders was formed to provide input to the Planning Team and to review draft plan chapters and policies. The HRSWCD contracted with Natural Resources Consultants– GIS, Inc. and the US Forest Service for technical assistance wildlife habitat and population assessments, GIS mapping, and habitat model development. Writing and editing were provided by Holly Coccoli under a service contract with the NWPPC. Additional support was provided by the Oregon Technical Assistance Team and Mobrand Biometrics, Inc.

2.2 List of Participants

The following list shows project organization and membership.

Fiscal and Contract Management

Anne Saxby, Hood River Soil and Water Conservation District

Subbasin Planning Team

Holly Coccoli, Hood River Watershed Group

Gary Asbridge, Mt Hood National Forest

Mick Jennings, Confederated Tribes Warm Springs Reservation of Oregon

Rod French, Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife

Chuti Fiedler, US Forest Service-Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area

Technical Work Group

Katherine Serres, U.S. Forest Service - Mt Hood National Forest

Catherine Flick, US Forest Service-Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area

Alexis Vaivoda, Confederated Tribes Warm Springs Reservation of Oregon

Mark Kreiter, U.S. Forest Service - Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area

Keith Kohl, Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife

Bonnie Lamb, Oregon Department of Environmental Quality

Larry Toll, Oregon Water Resources Department

Erik Olsen, Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife

Darcy Morgan, U.S. Forest Service

Nancy Gilbert, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service

Advisory Committee

Ann Debbaut, Hood River County Planning Department

Larry Hoffman, Oregon Department of Forestry

Brian Connors, Middle Fork Irrigation District

Katie Skakel, Farmers Irrigation District

John Buckley, East Fork Irrigation District

Brian Nakamura, Hood River Grower-Shippers Association

Steve Hansen, Longview Fibre Company

Richard McCulley, City of Cascade Locks

Chuck Daughtry, Port of Cascade Locks

Bob Willoughby, City of Cascade Locks

Robert Barnhart, Oregon Department of Transportation

Dave Harlan, Port of Hood River

John Trumbell, Union Pacific Railroad

2.3. Stakeholder Involvement Process

Organizations, businesses, and agencies in the planning area with potential direct interests in local land use, natural resource use, and fish and wildlife concerns were contacted and invited to participate on the Advisory Committee. Public and stakeholder involvement was facilitated through monthly meetings of the Hood River Watershed Group. The Watershed Group is a voluntary watershed council organization of landowners, irrigation districts, interested citizens, Confederated Tribes of the Warm Springs Reservation, the Forest Service, and government agencies involved in land and natural resource use in the Hood River Valley. Most stakeholders and Subbasin Planning Team members regularly participate in Hood River Watershed Group meetings. Additional meetings with stakeholders with specific interest in the Columbia Gorge Tributaries planning area were held in the City of Cascade Locks. Meeting announcements were published in the Hood River News and through mailings to the Hood River Watershed Group. The planning effort was introduced in October 2002 by Eric Bloch, Oregon member of the NWPPC, at a meeting of the Hood River Watershed Group after publicity in the Hood River News.

2.4. Overall Planning Approach

The Subbasin Plan was prepared according to guidance materials provided by the Oregon Subbasin Planning Coordination Group and the NWPPC¹.

For planning purposes, the planning area was divided into 2 watershed areas (Appendix A, Map 1):

1. **Hood River Subbasin.** The major stream is the Hood River, which drains 339 square miles, and flows into the Columbia River 22 miles upstream of the Bonneville Dam.
2. **Oregon Columbia Gorge Tributaries Watershed.** This area drains 143 square miles of land between Bonneville Dam and the Hood River, and is part of the Columbia Gorge Subbasin. Herman and Eagle creeks are the largest of the 19 independent Columbia River tributaries within this watershed.

The Subbasin Plan consists of an assessment, inventory, and management plan for each of the areas noted above. The assessment provides an updated technical evaluation of the historical and current biological and physical characteristics for the subbasin. The assessment describes scientific assumptions and hypotheses about species-habitat relationships and the predicted effectiveness of proposed habitat strategies. The inventory describes the existing fish and wildlife programs, plans and project accomplishments so that action gaps or needs can be highlighted. Based on the assessment, biological objectives and measurable targets for fish and wildlife habitat recovery were identified. A management plan was formulated to meet the biological

¹ Technical Guide for Subbasin Planners, Council Document 2001-20; Oregon Specific Guidance, October 2, 2002; Outline for Oregon Subbasin Plan revised 4/16/2003

objectives. In preparing the plan, existing data, reports, and information was used as much as possible, and updated as necessary. Existing aquatic habitat information for the Hood River and its tributaries was compiled into a database for use in a computer model called the Ecosystem Diagnosis and Treatment Model (EDT). The EDT model predicts the response of chinook and steelhead populations to different habitat conditions. Model results were compared to prior assumptions about habitat conditions developed in earlier assessments. A second spreadsheet model called Qualitative Habitat Analysis was applied for resident trout and for salmon and steelhead in the Columbia Gorge Tributaries Watershed, and for cutthroat trout in the Hood River subbasin. The Interactive Biological Information System database developed for subbasin planning by the Northwest Habitat Institute was used as much as time allowed.

Subbasin plan development was coordinated as much as possible with other on-going programs and plans for fish, wildlife, water quality, resource use, and watershed restoration. These included available Endangered Species Act recovery plans; the Columbia Basin Fish and Wildlife Program activities in the Hood River; watershed planning through the Oregon Plan for Salmon and Watersheds and Oregon Watershed Enhancement Board (OWEB), the Northwest Forest Plan, the Columbia River Gorge National Scenic Area management, Oregon Statewide Land Use Planning Goals, and the Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) water quality study.

2.5. Process and Schedule for Revising/Updating the Plan

The Subbasin Planning Team will meet every two years to review the plan and incorporate changes as needed. Prior to adoption, comments on proposed revisions will be sought from Technical and Advisory Committee members and other stakeholders at a watershed council meeting, and public notice will be provided in the Hood River News.