Videoconferencing

Trial Presentation

Document Management

Videography

Court Reporting



Public Hearing on the draft Sixth Power Plan Eugene, Oregon September 28, 2009, 6:00 p.m.

1	APPEARANCES
2	Board Members
3	Melinda Eden - Chairperson
4	Joan Dukes - Council Member
5	
6	NWPCC Staff
7	Terry Morlan
8	Gillian Charles
9	Sandra Hirotu
10	John Harrison
11	Steve Crow
12	Leann Bleakney
13	
14	Public Testimony in Order of Appearance
15	Samantha Chirillo
16	Citizens For Public Accountability
17	
18	Roman Gillen
19	Consumers Power
20	Bill Welch
21	Eugene Water & Electric Board
22	
23	Mark Robinowitz
24	Private Citizen
25	

Public Hearing on the draft Sixth Power Plan
Eugene, Oregon
September 28, 2009, 6:00 p.m.

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

MS. EDEN: It's a little bit after 6:00, so let's get started. This is a public hearing on the draft Sixth Power Plan that has been put out by the Northwest Power and Conservation Council. name is Melinda Eden. I'm one of the two Oregon members of the Council and chair of the Power Committee as well. My other Oregon colleague, Joan We have some staff members Dukes, is on my right. here from the Council. Terry Morlan is on my left. He is the power planning director. Gillian Charles runs the power planning division for all intents and purposes. Our legal representative, Sandra Hirotsu. And in the back in the blue shirt is John Harrison from our public affairs division, and next to him is Steve Crow who is the Council's executive director.

So I want to welcome you all here this evening. We appreciate your interest in the draft Sixth Power Plan, and the purpose of this meeting, of course, is to hear what you have to say about it. I have a statement that must be read at the beginning of each of these series of public



hearings, so bear with me just for a moment.

Welcome, again, to a public hearing held by the Northwest Power and Conservation Council on the Council's proposed Sixth Northwest Power Plan.

The Northwest Power Act directs the Council to develop a regional conservation and electric power plan and to review that plan every five years. The Council is now engaged in its latest five-year power plan review. As part of this effort, the Council released a draft revised power plan on September 3rd for public review and comment.

The Council will be taking written comment as well as these public hearings on the draft Power Plan until November 6. The Council will also hold public hearings like this one on the draft plan in all four Northwest states over the next six weeks.

If you would like to comment at this hearing, please sign in on a sheet at the table outside provided for this purpose. You may also leave written comments with us if you wish. Your comments will be recorded, they will be placed in the Council's administrative record for the power plan review, and, most importantly, considered carefully by the Council as it makes its decisions on the final power plan later this year.

1	For more information on the proposed Sixth
2	Power Plan itself, including the text of the draft
3	plan itself, please visit the Council's website at
4	www.nwcouncil.org. You may submit comments by using
5	the "how to comment" link on the web page devoted to
6	the draft Power Plan. Thank you very much, again,
7	for coming.
8	On the agenda this evening, besides your
9	testimony, is a brief overview of the power plan
LO	which is about 600 pages long. The overview is not;
L1	the power plan is. And Terry Morlan will give that
L2	brief overview, and then we will take testimony from
L3	those folks who have signed up. At the end, I will
L4	ask if someone would like to testify who has not
L5	signed up if we have enough time. We have until
L 6	8:00. So, Terry, would you give us the overview,
L7	please?
L 8	MR. MORLAN: Good evening. I would like
L 9	to add my welcome to all of you. Thanks for coming.
20	This is a very brief overview. And the computer has
21	gone to sleep, but it will wake up, hopefully,
22	shortly. Here we go.
23	The goal of the Council's power plan is to
24	recommend a low cost and low risk future for the
25	power system, and we're trying to provide an

	<u>-</u>
1	adequate, efficient, economic, and reliable power
2	system that the words in the Northwest Power Act
3	that guide our work in trying to plan and provide
4	that in the future, while at the same time,
5	supporting the implementation of the Council's fish
6	and wildlife program.
7	I'm going to very quickly go through some
8	of the key findings. First, energy efficiency.
9	That's really the big the big news in this plan.
10	Energy efficiency is the lowest cost resource, and
11	this plan can potentially meet about 85 percent of
12	the region's electricity needs in the future. And -
13	- we've got a droopy microphone here. And
14	conservation also has the advantage of being a low
15	risk resource because it doesn't have risk
16	associated with potential carbon, pricing policies
17	or effects, it doesn't have fuel price risk
18	associated with it. And so it has a lot of
19	advantages in terms of avoiding risk. It also
20	contributes both to energy needs and to peaking
21	needs, and it creates local jobs and economic

With renewable generation as the second source of energy, the plan recommends that wind is competitive in cost with other resources. It's

activities. So that's the big finding.

22

23

24

required to meet the state Renewable Portfolio
Standards, and maybe there will even be some
national ones someday, I don't know. They avoid the
risk of fuel prices, renewables, and they avoid
carbon risks, so they have those advantages as well.
But wind itself is variable in output, and it
creates difficulties in operating the power system,
so we have to understand what the overall
requirements are for a variable resource like wind,
and there's a lot of actions in the plan that try to
deal with that and improve our ability to integrate
wind.

Natural gas up here would be the third alternative that may be needed in some future. And it has price risk, but it also has lower carbon emissions than coal, so it's the next most attractive thing, at least in the near-term, until we can identify some other opportunities, new resources, change in technology that might allow us to avoid even that use of natural gas.

A little bit behind on the clicker here. In terms of carbon risk, we spent quite a lot of effort in this plan to address carbon risk and what that means for the region. Some of the key findings are that coal plants, for example, provide in this -

1	- in our Northwest region about 20 percent of our
2	energy supply, but, on the other hand, they produce
3	over 85 percent of the carbon emissions, and so it's
4	pretty clear they're a major concern major
5	contributor to carbon emissions. We illustrate
6	several different approaches to how you can reduce
7	carbon in the region in the plan, and we provide
8	that information and the information about what the
9	cost of those approaches are and how effective
10	they'll be. So, basically, we understand that if
11	you're going to reduce carbon emissions
12	significantly from the power plan the regional
13	power system, it's going to involve less use of
14	coal, and that means probably if we replace coal
15	quickly, it would mean you would have more gas-fired
16	resources in the short-term at least.
17	So the five-year action plan calls for
18	acquiring a significant amount of conservation,
19	1,200 megawatts over the next five years of
20	conservation; developing renewable resources that
21	are required to meet the Renewable Portfolio
22	Standards; and if there's additional generation
23	needed to provide capacity or flexibility to
24	integrate wind, natural gas appears to be the best
25	alternative at this point. At this point we also

have actions in the plan to address ways to improve the power system so that it can integrate more wind and it can meet peak loads, and it can provide the kind of flexibility you need to integrate renewables.

We also call for research and demonstration on new technologies, things related to Smart Grid, for example, that have potential for not only improving the way the power system operates, but will help integrate wind and may help bring the customers into the solution to the power system, as opposed to it all being done by utilities from up above.

So that's a very brief overview. You know that you can comment at hearings because that's why you're here, but there's a link to the Council website. And so now it's time for us to hear from you.

MS. EDEN: Terry, thank you very much.

With that, I will call the people who have asked to testify in order of their sign-ups. And would you please spell your name and help me with the pronunciation because some of these are -- I'm sure I'm going to mispronounce, so I appreciate you correcting me. And, also, we have a court reporter

```
who would appreciate the spelling.
                                        She will get
 1
 2.
   these sheets as well.
                           We want very much to hear
 3
   what you have to say. We appreciate your interest.
   We hope you will not be repetitive with one another,
 4
 5
   and we would like to hear all of your ideas.
 6
             And with that, I will begin with -- and,
 7
   I'm sorry, I can't pronounce the first one --
 8
   Samantha Chirillo. How did I do with your name?
             MS. CHIRILLO:
 9
                             Chirillo.
10
             MS. EDEN:
                        Chirillo. Would you spell it,
11
   please, when you begin your testimony, for the
12
   record?
13
             MS. CHIRILLO:
                             Sure.
                                    It's C-h-i-r-i-l-l-
   o. And I live at 3930 East 17th Avenue, Eugene,
14
15
   Oregon 97403. Did you say three minutes?
16
             MS. EDEN:
                        About five minutes, I think,
17
   judging from the number of folks we have signed up.
18
             MS. CHIRILLO:
                             Okay.
19
             MS. EDEN:
                         Thank you.
20
                             I'm here this evening
             MS. CHIRILLO:
21
   representing Citizens For Public Accountability.
22
             And what I have to say is that any energy
23
   plan must put conservation first, and, I would
24
   arque, even more so than the plan currently does, as
25
   well as making drastic reductions in greenhouse
```

1	gases even more so than is proposed currently. We
2	don't have another five years to wait on this, and
3	we know that from all the climate science that has
4	come out telling us that we could already be past
5	the point of having catastrophic climate events.
6	That means not only do we need mitigation, but we
7	need to take steps that are enabling us to adapt.
8	That includes not jumping from fossil fuel sources
9	to other sources that may have very adverse impacts
10	to our local climate like forest biomass burnings.
11	I'll come back to that.
12	While we support the goal of a coal-free
13	Northwest, we as I said, we oppose the
14	substitution with either other fossil fuels such as
15	natural gas, liquified natural gas, or with biomass
16	burnings. It's important to have to be a leader,
17	I think, in becoming coal-free. I was reading an
18	article today that from the early '80s, and it
19	was a time when the Boardman Plant was shut down,
20	and the article was concluding that it wasn't a
21	problem that people didn't have power at that time
22	in Oregon as a result. The problem was that we
23	weren't, like, meeting our agreements with
24	California. And so I think it's really important

for us to think about what we want for energy future

to be renewable -- really renewable, and not to go over the cliff with other states that are less willing to really take a hard look and put conservation first.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We oppose forest biomass burning for energy because it relies on unacceptable forest practices such as clearcutting and the use of toxic pesticides which poison rural residents. Forest biomass burning also pollutes the lowest income communities, it's an environmental justice issue, and it threatens to accelerate deforestation. Forests die off that is caused by climate change and also decades of unsustainable logging practices. already see in the Northeast that timber companies are harvesting mature trees to burn in these facilities. We already see the impacts of air And I understand your report more than pollution. really pushes forest biomass, makes -- kind of puts it out there for local communities to consider, but I do not think that it should be -- it should be viewed as an acceptable substitute. We really need to make a real concerted

We really need to make a real concerted effort, and we have the technology, we know what it takes. We need community-building. We need motivation. We have the technology. We have a



```
1
   crisis.
            Let's do it together -- the rest together.
 2
                         Thank you.
                                     Next, I believe, is
              MS. EDEN:
   Roman Gillen. And our first witness has reminded me
 3
   that I would like you to introduce yourself again,
 4
 5
   please, and identify any group that you're
 6
   representing, and then spell your last name for the
 7
   record.
 8
              MR. GILLEN:
                           Sure.
                                  Thank you.
 9
             MS. EDEN:
                         And please correct my
10
   pronunciation.
11
              MR. GILLEN:
                           No, that was right.
                                                 Roman
   Gillian with Consumers Power in Philomath, an hour
12
   north.
13
           I represent Consumers Power, and also PNGC
14
   Power in Portland.
                        That's our wholesale power
15
   manager.
             I don't remember if I gave you the
16
   spelling of my name.
17
              MS. EDEN:
                         Sure.
18
              MR. GILLEN: G-i-l-l-e-n.
19
              MS. EDEN:
                         Thank you.
20
              MR. GILLEN:
                           Just a couple of comments.
21
   We feel that the draft plan is a good effort overall
22
   and accomplishes a great deal of things,
   incorporates a lot of different viewpoints. One
23
24
   concern that we have is the heavy reliance on
25
   conservation. We're pleased that the Council
```

1	included in there a range of conservation targets,
2	and it is admittedly an aggressive target for the
3	region to reach, and one concern is that an
4	overreliance on any particular resource can lead to
5	some problems. So even in terms of renewables, a
6	lot of mention of wind resources. And while wind is
7	certainly a popular one and one that is in abundance
8	and can meet a great amount of our need for power,
9	we encourage the Council to consider and include a
10	wide variety of renewable resources which are what
11	we have in the Northwest. You know, any gas that we
12	bring in tends to be imported, so reliance on
13	resources that we have within the region, but I
14	guess the thing I'm wanting to stress is the
15	diversity of those resources, too. So thanks.
16	MS. EDEN: Thank you very much. Next is
17	Bill Welch. I think I got that one right.
18	MR. WELCH: That one's tough. But you'll
19	be amazed how many people get it wrong. So I'm Bill
20	Welch, and that's W-e-l-c-h. I'm the manager of
21	EWEB's energy and management services department,
22	and I'm here representing EWEB.
23	So I just want to thank you for allowing
24	us to comment on the power plan, and we'd like to
25	thank you two in particular for supporting the

conservation targets in the last meeting, and, you know, it didn't quite make it through. And we'd also like to acknowledge and thank the Council staff for creating a plan that makes conservation the highest priority resource.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So like the Council, EWEB's current and past energy resource plans have placed conservation as the highest priority resource, and that's been followed by renewables and cogeneration. We've been at the forefront of acquiring energy conversation for many years. We've had conservation programs since the late 1970s, and our conservation programs have spanned all of the power plans from the very first one. We've acquired about 60 average megawatts of conservation in a relatively small service territory. Our average load's about 320 megawatts, so we think that's pretty significant. Like the Council, we think it offers the lowest cost option for our customers, and it saves them money. We have programs for low-income people who really need that money to be saved from their energy bills. It reduces the cost -- the exposure to power fluctuation, and it gives us local jobs and keeps the money in the community. We've had comments from local contractors that our programs have kept them

2.

alive and in business through some of the economic downturns. So I think it's good testimony for the power of conservation, but also is probably the most direct way of reducing greenhouse gas emissions, so it's hard to find a downside to going after conservation as the primary resource.

Despite that being obvious to all of us, it hasn't always been easy. We have had to go to our past boards and our current board and ask for them to approve budgets that include significant expenditure for conservation. In the past dozen years, we have expended over 5 percent of our gross revenues on conservation each year, so we think that's probably unprecedented, pretty much, anywhere in the country. We've also maintained a really large energy management staff to help our customers for the last three decades, and right now we have almost 40 people in a conservation department in a staff that's slightly less than -- in a company slightly less than 500 people. So it's a significant investment in conservation.

We agree with the staff assessment that there's a large amount of conservation in the region. Our experience in our own service territory tells us it's there, and we believe that the

Council's role is to set an aggressive target, so just as we have done, the various regional agencies, organizations, and utilities will set equally aggressive targets and fund the programs and staff the programs.

2.

There's been a lot of discussion and debate about the conservation targets for the sixth plan. I don't think that EWEB is in a position to say whether there's a 1,000 average megawatts, 1,100, 1,200, 1,400. We know there's a lot of conservation out there. We're currently in our current plan, our conservation potential assessment, and we're aware of the uncertainties, and Council staff has expressed the uncertainty of that. We appreciate that the Council acknowledges the uncertainly and is willing to take a time-out midstream in the five-year action plan to reassess.

While we support the overall direction and the targets, we have an area of concern for EWEB.

Because of our long history of aggressively implementing conservation even when others didn't, we're concerned about how our 60 average megawatts will be accounted for when Bonneville allocates targets for us in the post-2011 conservation program. We have seen in some of the discussions

1	leading up to the post-2011 work that they're going
2	to rely heavily on the conservation on the
3	Council's calculator to do the allocation, so if the
4	calculator doesn't adequately account for past
5	conservation like the conservation that we've done,
6	we're concerned that it's going to possibly unfairly
7	disadvantage us and penalize us for doing the right
8	thing over all those years. It's also going to make
9	it difficult to reconcile the bottom-up approach
10	required by post-2011 in Bonneville's plan with the
11	topdown approach that the Council has taken. So we
12	think there's significant resource remaining, but
13	we're already pretty deeply into our resource stack.
14	We heard testimony from someone, a utility in
15	Washington, they had three paper mills and they had
16	gotten about 5 average megawatts from them, and they
17	didn't think there was any more, and we've gotten 5
18	average megawatts out of one. So that's I mean
19	that's not that you know, to be smug, but it's
20	saying we're sort of deeply into this. We don't
21	want to be unfairly disadvantaged for our leadership
22	role over the past 30 years, so that's our main
23	concern.
24	And, otherwise, we support the targets
25	and, again, support your support as Oregon council

members for sticking with that aggressive target. 1 2 We are planning on submitting written comment as 3 well, so we'll provide more details in that comment. 4 Thank you. 5 MS. EDEN: Thank you very much. Next is Mark Robinowitz. 6 7 MR. ROBINOWITZ: And you've got the 8 pronunciation right. 9 MS. EDEN: Thank you. 10 MR. ROBINOWITZ: Mark Robinowitz, M-a-r-k 11 R-o-b-i-n-o-w-i-t-z, and I'm representing myself. 12 As a user of solar electricity for nearly 13 two decades, I have a few perspectives to share. 14 The only magazine I still subscribe to is Home Power 15 which is published in Ashland, Oregon which talks 16 about how to encourage local use of renewable 17 energy, but I'm not a member of any environmental 18 group anymore. I'm sympathetic with their concerns, 19 but not their math. 20 Coal is, of course, the dirtiest burning 21 fossil fuel that there is. We're blowing up whole 22 mountain ranges for it. The tailings poison 23 countless streams. The digging of fossil carbon 24 that took eons to accumulate is bad for public 25 health, climate stability, and shows a

shortsightedness that is causing mass extinction of life. That said, there are no simple substitutes for burning coal if we want the electric grid and overconsumption. The reason we use fossil fuel is not that these companies are callus, but that fossil fuels are more concentrated than alternatives. Coal runs half the western grid, the eastern grid, and the Texas grid.

Solar and wind are great. I use them. But their intermittent nature makes it difficult to balance the demand on the grid, and it would help for the environmentalists to study that in their rhetoric. The Sierra Club, in particular, has long supported increased use of natural gas for electricity even though the peak of U.S. natural gas production was 1973, shortly after the peak of U.S. oil extraction in 1970. Natural gas in Alberta is peaking now, so we're not going to be able to increase natural gas for anything, let alone electricity. Alberta is a lot colder than the rest of the U.S., and they're concerned about export of fuel that heats their cities in the winter. Alberta is diverting a lot of their own natural gas to fuel tar sand extraction which is the world's largest strip mine. New natural gas projects in the

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 U.S., called shale gas, are providing a short-term 2 boost in U.S. natural gas supples, but at tremendous 3 environmental cost. Huge amounts of toxic waste are injected into ground towards any groundwater. 4 5 That's not acceptable. 6 Biomass combustion for electricity is 7 inefficient, toxic, and threatens to accelerate 8 deforestation. Despite the promises of the 9 industry, burning trees for electricity threatens to 10 liquidate millions of acres of forest owned by 11 timber barons who cut land faster than forests can 12 regenerate, and it would provide new efforts to 13 clearcut now that real estate markets for building 14 condos in the desert have collapsed. 15 promoters, paid and unpaid, for burning trees for 16 electricity claim that the northwest is the Saudi 17 Arabia of wood, which is true because Saudi Arabia 18 is passing its peak in production, just as the 19 Northwest peaked in wood production many years ago. 20 What remains is fragmented. 21 Conservation and efficiency is not the 22 resource, but it is the best way to temporarily 23 reduce stress on the grid and resource depletion 24

Why is it still legal to light billboards

Why do we still aim lights up into the



25

at night?

## (800) 528-3335 NaegeliReporting.com

1	sky? Why is that legal? Why is that not a felony?
2	Even this building does that. That's crazy. People
3	are going to look back in the future and ask what we
4	were thinking. And if our local utility, EWEB,
5	really took these issues seriously, they would not
6	have spent \$85 million in public debt to pave over
7	the west Eugene wetlands with a new edifice complex
8	that they're building, and they would not be
9	planning to relocate their headquarters, built at
10	great expense, also to the wetlands. Now, EWEB does
11	do more for conservation than most utilities, but
12	the scale of the energy crisis is far greater still,
13	and EWEB's new support of clearcutting forests for
14	low-grade fuel is a giant step backwards for the
15	utility, and it leads many to question the integrity
16	of their green power program.
17	We need to include both issues of carbon
18	emissions and resource depletion, since trying to
19	solve one without the other makes them both worse.
20	Trying to deal with resource depletion led us to tar
21	sands, liquid natural gas, shale gas, and
22	mountaintop removal for coal. But if we're not
23	honest about the reduction of concentrated fossil
24	fuel resources and ways to greatly reduce

consumption, we're not going to be able to gently

reduce it. There's a mean floating out there that
we need to reduce fossil fuel use over the next few
decades for a stable climate. We're going to do that
whether we plan for it or not because we cannot burn
fuel that does not exist. A study I would like to
submit for the record from the Energy Watch Group of
Germany estimates that peak for coal globally is
somewhere in 2020, maybe 2025. We do not have 200
years of coal, just like we don't have 200 years of
natural gas.

And, finally, a comment on nuclear power, which is still legal at Hanford, Washington. What is the best documented way to cause cancer?

Radiation. The byproducts are lethal for centuries and millennia, and we are no closer today to figuring out how to detoxify them than we were during the Manhattan Project. Future generations of people are going to have to be nuclear baby-sitters to safeguard our generation's nuclear trash. And the real health threat is not even cancer, but it's long-term genetic damage for future generations of human beings and other species.

Whatever energy plans are made after peakeverything, they need to consider a consideration of a contracting economy, both regionally and globally.



After decades of warnings, we have finally reached 1 2 the limits to growth on a finite planet. Mitigating 3 overshoot is likely to be the greatest challenge the human race has ever faced, and the efforts for 4 5 efficiency and renewable energy are admirable, but 6 it is reasonable to conclude that circumstances are going to unfold much faster than these plans can 8 So if you want to turn off the coal and the assume. 9 Let's stop lighting the natural gas, I agree. 10 skyscrapers at night. Let's change the way we live. 11 Let's tear up the parking lots and grow food so that 12 when the fossil fuels are gone, we have a stable 13 society, rather than a collapse. 14 MS. EDEN: Thank you very much. The fifth 15 is Brenda Kameenui. Help me with that, please. 16 MS. KAMEENUI: Brenda Kameenui, K-a-m-e-e-17 n-u-i. 18 MS. EDEN: Thank you. 19 MS. KAMEENUI: I'm a citizen. I'm 20 interested, first, in having the Council launch a 21 very rigorous scientific study about biomass before 22 launching wholesale into that industry. I'd be very 23 careful to not step too quickly into it. I'm also 24 interested in compensating businesses and 25 individuals for conservation in such a way that

encourages skyscrapers to turn off lights, to turn off lighting of billboards -- we can name any number of things, but the compensations given may produce greater savings than must be expended. I think EWEB has done that in many cases. And encourage the acceleration of coal -- stopping coal plants sooner than later, and perhaps the conservation efforts could help that -- help balance that more quickly. Thank you.

MS. EDEN: Thank you very much. Mike
11 Shiner.

MR. SHINER: You said it correctly. My name is Mike Shiner, S-h-i-n-e-r.

Just quickly, I moved here probably a little more than a year ago. I think a significant thing that needs to be included as we look at the plan -- I came from Tennessee, by the way, not very far from where the disaster was from the coal plant that was there. I think what we lose -- in some of the price models that we put in, we always include risk in those models, and the risk that we miss is the cost that it -- the cost for us to clean up things that happen like that disaster. So I would submit to you that the renewables and the costs for renewables are far more worth the expenditures and a

1	higher rating on the risk chart for us to spend
2	money to resolve those solutions than submit to what
3	might be a potential risk and turns into a long-term
4	expense for us. And that's all. Thank you.
5	MS. EDEN: Thank you. Pam Hewitt.
6	MS. HEWITT: Pam Hewitt with Emerald
7	People's Utility District here in Eugene.
8	Overall, EPUD, who has also been very
9	active in both the conservation and the renewable
10	acquisition realm over the last 25 years, supports
11	the plan and supports the Council's priorities in
12	the order in which they are identified;
13	conservation, renewables, and the firming of the
14	federal system in order to support further
15	integration of conservation and renewables.
16	However, we share the same concern as expressed by
17	some of the other utilities here this evening which
18	is ensuring an adequate allocation of the
19	conservation goals and objectives from the regional
20	level. We too have a strong history of achieving
21	conservation at a relatively high cost and at a high
22	staffing and investment level from the from our
23	consumer's perspective, and we wish to be adequately
24	compensated for that in the setting of goals into
25	the future. In other words, our customers have

already paid above and beyond the regional share of achieving that resource, and we're willing to continue along in that effort, but must do so protecting our customers in the future. So we appreciate the balance and the diversity identified in the plan in specifying both the supply and the demand side resource. We too have a history of dedicating 3 percent of overall revenue towards the pursuit of both conservation and renewables, and we have done this in light of a lower cost resource which was Bonneville's federal system, and so we just need to protect our customers' investment in that effort moving forward.

Just to put it in perspective, I think that the conservation goals identified in the plan are very aggressive and call for achieving over 1,000 average megawatts in a four-year period when we have not been able to achieve that in the past ten years, so that's something that's going to take an effort, not only by the utilities and by the third-party contractors that'll be required to achieve that resource, but also our customers. And our customers, we're going to need to build broad consensus among the region in order to achieve that level of conservation, achieve that level of

behavior modification, not only in the short term, but in the long-term, so it's going to take nothing shorter than an effort to get there, but we're on board.

1

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I want to talk a little bit about baseload renewables. I think the plan tends to, perhaps, underestimate the public consensus that might be required in order to achieve a conversion and an adoption rate on some of the more controversial renewables. You have heard this evening some concerns about biomass. They're not limited to biomass. We see some public outcry regarding the ocean resource, geothermal resources. And so I encourage the Council to embrace these issues and to assist the region in coming to a better understanding of not only the benefits, but the risk associated with these resources so that we can clearly quantify them, address them, and get beyond them.

Lastly, I just wanted to mention the plan,
I believe, has, in some regards, underestimated the
cost associated with integration and transmission of
the renewable resources, and as we move -- as the
plan adopts a more aggressive stance towards carbon
-- the cost of carbon and the more carbon-emitting

1	resources are taken offline, I think we'll see a
2	replacement with much more intermittent renewables,
3	and the costs associated with firming those
4	resources and transmitting those resources, I
5	believe, is might need to be examined a little
6	more closely. So, with that, thank you very much.
7	MS. EDEN: Thank you. Next is John
8	Steele.
9	MR. STEELE: You caught me busy writing
10	notes down with that. John Steele, S-t-e-e-l-e,
11	Friends of Dorena Dam.
12	My concern is several. I too recognize
13	the efficiency and the extreme that this plan has
14	been pushed and reduction of carbon emissions and
15	pushed towards renewables, but with any plan,
16	there's hidden costs that are not seen and hidden
17	advantages that are not known. For example,
18	conservation in the past has been based upon the
19	cheap price of electricity. There was little
20	motivation. The motivation we have today, I have a
21	friend that's building a zero-energy house. There's
22	no there's not going to be any energy in it for
23	heating. It's a house design that's very prevalent
24	in Minnesota. So that is possible. What is
25	difficult is if a person can afford it But there

Meeting September 28, 2009 NRC File # 10033-14
is no plan for the consumer to know, you know, can I
get reimbursement for that energy saved? Can I get
reimbursement for all the less CO2 that I'm going to
produce in the future? If he dies and sells the
house, how long does it live? Etcetera, etcetera.
The other problem or concern I have is,
currently, I am in the process with the State of
Oregon over a proposed hydroelectric project on
Dorena Dam, and this looks green, but as a person
who has a biology degree and lived on the river for
20 years, what has happened is as you go to these
green resources, a word of caution is make sure that

who has a biology degree and lived on the river for 20 years, what has happened is -- as you go to these green resources, a word of caution is make sure that the numbers have been done correctly. It turns out mercury that's being released by this dam, according to the Department of Environmental Quality, in one year actually happens in three and a half days.

Five miles downstream is the Cottage Grove drinking facility for their water, their sole source. So you -- and so you've got some problems about going to, "Oh, that's green. Let's just jump on board." So there's those kind of issues that you are not foreseeing, perhaps, yet at the same time, you have these efficient houses being built.

Another problem is you notice that you're going to adhere to the current management plans of

1	fisheries. This particular project ignored the
2	Northwest Power Planning Commission's recommendation
3	of the full mitigation of reestablishing the salmon
4	run at this dam. There is no the trigger that
5	was supposed to take place did not take place. The
6	dam was not even going to consider or the
7	construction of the refit of the hydro is not even
8	going to consider the fish passage issue which
9	should have been an automatic trigger. So it
10	essentially was going so fast to green, it didn't
11	look at the mercury, it didn't follow the rules, it
12	obstructed or ignored three management plans with
13	the salmon involved, so there is a lot there.
14	Let's see. What else has been bothering
15	me. I'd like for you to stay with the analysis that
16	you're using. I think you need to broaden it. I
17	think if you look at, again, this future cost to
18	prioritize the CO2 emissions, perhaps natural gas is
19	not the answer, but is a stopgap that would hold us
20	away from coal until we do things like Kendall
21	Toyota. The whole building is wired in solar.
22	They're off-grid. I have several friends that are
23	off-grid. I think that will do it. Thank you.
24	MS. EDEN: Thank you very much. Joann
25	Ernst.

1 MS. ERNST: Joan Ernst. That's E-r-n-s-t. 2 And although I am an EWEB commissioner, I'm here 3 this evening speaking as a citizen. I'm very encouraged by this plan and the 4 5 bold steps it takes to move away from coal and into 6 the use of clean alternatives and recognizing that conservation can meet almost all our future growth 8 in the region. I applaud the Council for their hard 9 I do agree with the Northwest work on this plan. 10 Energy Coalition, though, that the results from their two reports, the "Bright Future" and "The 11 12 Power of Efficiency", should have been included in 13 this draft; specifically, results that show it would 14 cause very small increases in rates to retire 15 regional coal plants and remove the lower four Snake 16 River dams to enhance the fish recovery. 17 Also, I'd like to see the plan address a 18 reduction in greenhouse gases, and not just 19 stabilization. I say this because the plan does 20 include the possible use of all available resources, 21 including nuclear, and advanced coal, and liquified 22 gas, and others. If these options are not removed 23 and end up being used, it will not stabilize

drive them up.

greenhouse gas emissions, but could conceivably

So I would like those removed from

24

the plan.

In Chapter 4 on Page 1, you define
"conservation" in that plan, and it says, "The
Council defines conservation as improved energy
efficiency. This means that less electricity is
used to provide the same level of services." Well,
that's all good in the way that we are currently
living in our society, but what I would really like
to see in the plan is something about reduction of
energy use, and I'd like the plan to expand on what
that means, that conservation could mean using less
energy, and what a cost estimate would look like to
go along with that.

In the summary part on Page 1, the plan states, "The Council expects that there are small-scale resources available at the local level in the form of cogeneration or renewable energy opportunities." As Pam pointed out -- Pam Hewitt pointed out a little while ago, this is the only place in the plan that I really see mention of that, and I would like to see this expanded on in the plan with a better understanding of how much the Council actually expects the small-scale resources to be and maybe some cost estimates and other things that go along with what those alternatives are. And I am

1	against the continuation of biomass, burning of
2	wood, being in continuing to be in these plans
3	and cutting our forests down to generate
4	electricity.
5	And, lastly, although it is a I think
6	it's a very good idea to review the progress of this
7	plan at the two-and-a-half-year midpoint. I ask
8	that the Council not consider lowering the
9	conservation targets for utilities at this point.
10	If they haven't met those targets, I think the plan
11	should expand on what needs to be done with these
12	utilities that have not met those conservation
13	goals.
14	So, again, thank you for the opportunity
15	to give public input and for all your hard work on a
16	forward-thinking plan.
17	MS. EDEN: Thank you very much. Next is
18	Sandra Bishop.
19	MS. BISHOP: Thank you. Good evening, my
20	name is Sandra Bishop. I'm a former Eugene Water &
21	Electric Board commissioner. I served on the board
22	from '97 to 2006. I'm here tonight as a citizen.
23	It's remarkable in this plan I'm going
24	to try to just really summarize my remarks. It's
25	quite remarkable that energy efficiency and new

1 renewables are expected to meet the full new load. 2 That is very significant. Thank you to the staff 3 and thank you to the council members for getting We've been waiting, and you finally got there. 5 But I have to say that, although you there. 6 recognize that you can meet the demand that way, I think that I'm going to spend most of my time tonight talking about two things that really haven't 8 9 been done in this plan. 10 There's nothing in the plan that really will result in the reduction of carbon emissions or 11 greenhouse gas emissions. And I know that's 12 13 difficult, but I think the staff has done the analysis, but I think the Council hasn't quite 14 15 gotten there. And I could be wrong. Maybe there's 16 more staff work to be done. But in order to 17 stabilize global greenhouse gas emissions, we've got 18 to do something, and just simply not increasing them 19 really isn't enough; it's just not sufficient. 20 the plan does not help three out of the four states 21 who have very strong goals, and that is for carbon 22 reduction, and that is Oregon, Washington, and 23 Montana. 24 So, essentially, what you're saying is

that you're telling utilities that it's okay to

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

continue to get, in some cases, a substantial amount of their power from the coal plants, so I think that the next step that's really got to come about rather quickly is that we need to find a way to really phase out the coal plants. And, you know, other people who have a lot more experience than I have talked about this, and you may end up with interim bridging strategies, but those coal plants have really got to go.

The third point is that there's no price -- and this is really my most important point, is there's no price of the cost on carbon in the plan. And the staff talks about analyzing the carbon price scenarios ranging anywhere from \$0 to \$100 per ton. There's an understanding in the region that a carbon tax or a carbon cost is going to come into play at some point, and I think -- I think -- if it's been relayed correctly, I think what's happening is that, based on long-term energy efficiency targets that --I'm sorry, that those long-term energy efficiency targets are based on something like \$45 to \$47, maybe \$49 per ton. So given that, I think you need to take a real leadership role here, and you need to -- and my utility friends are going to disown me for this. You really need to put a carbon cost on the

1	utilities so that the utilities could plan into the
2	future knowing what it is that they're going for.
3	You know, waiting for a \$47 or \$49 per ton cost or
4	price to be set coming in 2029, it's just simply way
5	too late. So please find a way to get to that
6	leadership position and really put a price on
7	carbon. Thank you.
8	MS. EDEN: Thank you. Next is Tom
9	Bowerman.
10	MR. BOWERMAN: Thank you. Tom Bowerman,
11	33707 McKenzie View, Eugene, 97408.
12	I'm going to wear two hats, testify with
13	these different hats on. First, I'm going to talk
14	as a project manager for a nonprofit research
15	project called Policy Interactive. The purpose of
16	the project is to explore the disconnect between
17	what science is saying about climate change and
18	what's policy and failure of policy to do something
19	about climate change.
20	Now, specifically with respect to the
21	subject before you, I've given you a graph of a
22	question in public opinion surveying that our
23	organization has done. I will say before I get to
24	that that we are members of the American Association
25	of Public Opinion Research. We subscribe to their

that the research is done to the highest degrees of objectivity as possible, and that there is full disclosure in terms of how the method is done, and the full question sets in every survey is available. In anything that we are going to release, any questions about this methodology is fully available at any time.

I want to draw your attention to the graph, and there are 12 items there that are focusing on questions having to do with consumption taxes and fees, generally considered to be an unpopular subject with the general public. You will note that the one that shows the greatest degree of agreeing — or the greatest degree of agreement is utility inverted rate structures, and you'll see that the level of agreement is pushing 80 percent which, in opinion research, is kind of a home run.

One of the things that I'm going to -- now I'm going to take my hat off for a moment -- or I'll just say what the question is here for the audience that doesn't have this. The question -- this is one question out of 12 different options that we pursued in terms of a variety of consumption issues in the culture. This one on utility fees was, "Require

utilities to have inverted rate structures so that people who use less energy gain lower electric rates than those who use lots of energy to ensure conversation." That was the question that was asked. 80 percent agreement.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And now, then, taking off -- so that's just findings. I want to take off my research directorship hat and just talk as a citizen, one who's spent many years involved in committees, and public meetings, and working groups with the BPA and with several public utilities in the area working on energy conservation issues and different resource availabilities. I want to say that I think that in order to achieve or exceed the targets that you have set for yourself, you need to build in market incentives to get there, that is the driver from the public to make good choices, as well as conceivably help to raise revenue to pay for the extra cost. We've heard some testimony about how difficult it is for some utilities who are exceeding the rest of the pack, and it seems to me that we need to be able to build in the pay structure in order to invest in really good choices, and we find that, overall, the general public really supports this concept.

In our opinion research on climate change,

1	we've found that the material throughputs, we call
2	it consumption, trumps climate change, and it
3	especially trumps climate change in the sectors of
4	the public who see climate change as a nonissue or
5	see it as a diversionary or non-supported
6	scientifically come across from minority positions
7	to majority positions on, "Our country would be
8	better off if we all consumed less." And so
9	thinking through that tool a little bit, looking at
10	the drivers to obtain the targets that you set or
11	hopefully to exceed those targets, we need to build
12	in the drivers from the consumer side, and the
13	evidence that we see suggests that that can occur.
14	Let me only close with the idea that I
15	saw something about cost effective rates on the
16	slide show. Low rates are a blessing and a curse.
17	They're a blessing because we all enjoy getting
18	something for nothing. However, the truth of the
19	matter is that all types of energy has its costs.
20	We've talked about biomass. They have their cost.
21	We've talked about coal. Obviously, a big cost.
22	But virtually every form of energy we're using is
23	going to have a cost. I can guarantee you that
24	there will be some issues involved that will be
25	adverse issues, and what we need to do is figure out

```
a way to conserve.
                        I applaud the idea that we're
 1
 2
   going to achieve it through efficiencies and
 3
   conservation, but we have to do it, and we have to
   have that driver underneath. So thank you very much.
 4
 5
             MS. EDEN:
                         Thank you very much.
 6
   Duemler -- Duemler.
 7
             MS. DUEMLER:
                            Duemler.
 8
                         Duemler. Thank you.
                                               Got it
             MS. EDEN:
 9
   right the first time.
10
             MS. DUEMLER:
                            It's difficult.
11
   Duemler, D-u-e-m-l-e-r.
             I've been out of town, so I'm really not
12
13
   prepared, but I will present something in writing.
14
   I do want to mention, though, that I'm very pleased
15
   with what we have had from EWEB as far as
16
   conservation.
                  They have made a great effort to see
17
   that homes are weatherized, and I think it's made a
18
   big difference in the thinking of the people here in
19
   the community. I would like to see more though.
20
   People still leave their window open on a cold
21
   winter night and heat the house, and heating outside
22
   is not conservation.
                          I just had two roomers come to
23
   my home, and they're in the habit of leaving the
24
   windows open on a cold night, and they cannot
25
   understand why I don't want to put up the furnace.
```

But it's an education that has to start, I think, with the very young, and I understand EWEB, our local utility here, has a good educational program for our schools, and I would encourage all of us to learn more each day.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Aside from that, though, I'm quite concerned about the health effects of some of the renewables that have been mentioned, especially the We had experiences last week, a lot of biomass. smoke in our community, because of the forest fires, but I remember when we burned -- our utilities burned wood, and I remember the odor and the pollution from it. I also know the Lung Association -- the American Lung Association has put out a 2009 report saying that our county here has one of the highest percentages of lung problems because of our air quality. Our air quality here is -- the end of the valley where the pollution gathers, and we have a growing population of people that have asthma, over 11 percent of our population here in Lane County has asthma, and that counts -- you know, along the ocean, they don't have it. They breathe the fresh, salt air. But that being said, here in our community, we have a much higher than 11-percent Over 50 percent of our population with asthma.

population is affected here by air pollution. 1 largest percentage of the health effect is on our 3 heart, heart problems, and I'm concerned with any further increase in pollution, especially for those 5 people who live downwind from the biomass plants. 6 Biomass plants are very inefficient when they make electricity, one of the lowest in efficiency, I 8 believe, and we are also afraid of having our forest cut and the loss of carbon in our forests. 10 overall carbon count, I think, is misleading when we 11 say it's a renewable source that would help with the 12 carbon situation and our climate change.

And I am also -- I know living in Los
Angeles and southern California, the air quality was
very poor. But on many days here lately, I have
seen that the brown haze comes into our valley, and
it's hard to breathe. And I was just -- I just
returned from southern California, and the energy
conservation certainly isn't considered by part of
the population in southern California, and I'm glad
I escaped. They're going 80, 90 miles an hour, using
up oil as fast as they can, and the air is
continually brown. And we don't want that here. I
don't want that here. And I'm so glad that our
pollution levels have gone down, but we don't want

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 And if we have one plant, we think to reverse now. 2 we'll -- two, three, four plants are being talked 3 And our forests, we don't need to lose our forests to biomass plants. Thank you. 4 5 MS. EDEN: Thank you. Next is Nick 6 Engelfried. 7 MR. ENGELFRIED: Hi. I'm Nick Engelfried, E-n-q-e-l-f-r-i-e-d. I'm a volunteer for the Oregon 8 9 Sierra Club. 10 I am 21 years old right now, and in 20 11 years, the timeframe during which the NWPCC current 12 draft plan will influence energy policy, I will be 13 about 41. In five years, the time between the drafting of this NWPCC policy and the next one, I'll 14 be 26. 15 By that time, our country needs to be well 16 on the road to a future powered by renewable energy 17 and free of coal. That time encapsulates a critical 18 window of opportunity when we need to begin shifting 19 off of fossil fuels if we're going to stave off the 20 worst effects of global warming, and if we can't 21 start by doing it right here in the green Northwest, 22 I don't know where is a better chance to start. 23 I feel that my generation, the young 24 people of this country, we are already trying to do 25 our part to facilitate this shift. I myself am

working with about nine college campuses in the 1 2 Northwest on a program called Fossil Action Fall 3 2009 where we are trying to shift communities away from fossil fuels and towards renewable energy. 5 Later on this fall, hundreds of young people from 6 across the western United States will be converging in this city at the University of Oregon for Power 8 Shift West Coast, an immense gathering of young 9 people designed to help facilitate the transition to 10 a renewable energy future. However, we young people 11 can't do this alone. We need the help of the NWPCC 12 and other regional decision makers.

The current draft plan does have some great stuff in it already. It's my understanding that the current plan says we don't need any new coal plants because we can -- largely because we can meet most of our new energy demand through conservation and efficiency. That's great.

However, the great danger for my generation is that even while taking some of the steps that we need to reduce greenhouse emissions and stave off global warming, we won't go all the way and really root out fossil fuels from our economy. It isn't, unfortunately, enough just to say we don't need any new coal. We need to get rid of existing coal.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 There is clearly a national and regional trend away 2. from fossil fuels and towards renewable energy, at 3 least to a degree, but we need to make sure that that trend really gets us to where we need to be. Ι 5 will submit that we need a plan that not only curbs 6 new coal plants in the Northwest, but phases out existing coal plants and our importation of coal 8 energy from other states as quickly as possible. We need to do this in order to protect the future that 10 me and my generation is going to inherit. 11 you. 12 MS. EDEN: Thank you. Next is Liz Veazey. 13 MS. VEAZEY: Veazey. 14 MS. EDEN: Veazey. Thank you. 15 MS. VEAZEY: Hi. I'm Liz Veasey. The 16 last name is spelled, V-e-a-z-e-y. 17 And I, as someone else who spoke earlier, 18 also recently moved here from Tennessee, so I've 19 learned and seen a lot of the human and 20 environmental impacts of coal from cracked home 21 foundations to destroyed forests and mountains to 22 poisoned water, children with asthma, and I've also 23 met people with whom I work who are losing their 24 homes and their livelihoods to global warming and 25 rising sea levels, and a host of problems.

1 really excited about the plan's strong focus on 2 efficiency and conservation, especially with no new 3 large plants proposed at all, and I'd like to thank the Oregon reps who helped create the plan and the 4 5 local utilities who are already, you know, working 6 on this track. To be honest, it's much better than anything I've seen in the Southwest where I've been 7 working and fighting coal plants and nuclear plants 8 for a long time, so I think that's exciting. 9 10 However, there's still -- there's still 11 room for improvement, and I would like to echo some 12 of the earlier comments about the need for 13 substantial greenhouse gas emission reductions, including a coal-free Oregon and Northwest by 2020 14 15 as the Northwest Council staff study showed is 16 possible. I think this has to done, as has been 17 mentioned earlier, without increased use of natural 18 gas, or burning trees, or nuclear power. If Oregon 19 and the Northwest did this, it would set an 20 incredible example by becoming, I think, the first 21 state and region that I know of to kick their 22 current coal habit, so I'd encourage the Council to 23 do that. Thanks. 24 MS. EDEN: Thank you. Daniel Wilson. 25 AUDIENCE MEMBER: He's gone.

1	AUDIENCE MEMBER: Yeah, he's not here.
2	AUDIENCE MEMBER: He had to leave early.
3	MS. EDEN: Okay. Thank you. Next is
4	Roger Hamilton.
5	MR. HAMILTON: Thank you. Roger Hamilton,
6	H-a-m-i-l-t-o-n. Former Oregon public utility
7	commissioner, and formally Kitzhaber's energy
8	advisor. Old energy advisor, not new energy
9	advisor. I'm going to make my comments specifically
LO	to the only part of the plan that I've actually read
L1	word-for-word, and that is Chapter 10 on Climate
L2	Change Issues, 6A on Transmission, and Chapter 11 on
L3	Capacity and Flexibility Resources, partly
L4	reflecting that I'm representing the Western Grid
L5	Group affiliated with the Council for Energy
L 6	Efficiency and Renewable Technologies, that I have
L7	to admit is a California-based organization, but we
L 8	do grid improvements, enhancements, and advocacy for
L 9	wind transmission for large-scale levels of
20	renewables, the kinds of scale that we need to
21	reduce greenhouse gas emissions.
22	I want to reiterate some of the things
23	that have been said about explicitly in the plan. I
24	hope the commission will at least adopt the staff
5	average of from \$0 to \$100 a top at \$50 a top as an

1	explicit carbon cost. The studies that I've seen
2	seem to indicate that we may not be able to replace
3	coal on a market basis. We may have to take more of
4	a restrictive regulatory action with a \$50 a ton
5	carbon cost, and the IPCC, the Intercontinental
6	Panel for Climate Change, indicates that a damage
7	cost assessment of climate change and carbon dioxide
8	emissions is probably \$100 a ton. So be that as it
9	may, at least if we can get to \$50 a ton, we can
10	move on the trajectory we need to get. According to
11	the IPCC scientists, we have to reduce the impacts
12	of climate change the temperature impacts of
13	climate change. On a global average, we have to
14	keep them at a 2-degrees centigrade increase from
15	the current levels of temperature to avoid runaway
16	climate change. To do that, we have to reduce
17	carbon emissions by 80 percent to 95 percent below
18	1990 levels by 2050. And I know the staff has been
19	arguing that we're on the trajectory that has been
20	adopted by the state which is, I believe, 75 percent
21	reduction of carbon emissions by at below 1990
22	levels by 2050, so I haven't done the analysis
23	myself. So that's good, but we certainly can't do
24	that without decommissioning existing coal plants to
25	a large degree, and so I want to reemphasize that

and agree with some of the comments made about that.

I will not comment on biomass. At least it's not in my written testimony.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

To further underscore the need for immediate and concerted action to reduce carbon emissions, I want to refer you to a study that an organization I'm also affiliated with, Climate Leadership Initiative at the University of Oregon, contracting with ECONorthwest, a Eugene and Portland based economic analysis firm, "The Potential Economic Cost of Climate Change in Oregon 2008." recommend that study to you. We've found that on an annual basis -- these are pretty stunning figures -climate change will cost the economy of Oregon about -- a total of about 10 percent, but it will amount to about a \$5.4 billion hit on the statewide economy on an annual basis by 2030. That amounts to \$2,745 per household. Compare that to the cost of energy efficiency and conservation that you have as 5 percent or Portland General Electric's 3 percent, and it's a real bargain to engage in these mitigation measures.

Reduced wasted energy, from our analysis - I won't go into this in detail, but I handed it to
you at the table. Wasted energy simply means that

1	if we don't do conservation and efficiency, this is
2	what it's going to cost us in our energy bills.
3	That annual hit on the economy is about \$1.7
4	billion. Reduced salmon populations, \$1.5 billion.
5	I can go on and on. Increased health. Cost related
6	to ozone, almost a billion dollars, and so forth.
7	Firefighting costs and wildland fire losses, \$315
8	million. But among other results, the study is
9	really compelling in suggesting the high economic
LO	value in capturing energy conservation and
L1	efficiency measures as proposed by the state's plan,
L2	and I really am delighted, as Sandra Bishop and
L3	others have said, that we are there. At least we
L 4	are there in terms of a plan. It also reflects the
L5	high cost of the region due to salmon losses, the
L 6	preservation of which has been a high cost to
L7	electricity consumers over the past decade,
L 8	particularly EWEB as a Bonneville Power
L 9	Administration consumer.
20	Finally, on behalf of the Western Grid
21	Group, on wind integration issues, I would commend
22	the sixth plan for recognizing the important role of
23	new transmission investment and coordinated regional
24	transmission planning in bringing wind, solar, and
25	geothermal technologies into the grid. Columbia

1.3

Grid and the Northern Tier Transmission Group are
the two regional transmission organizations in the
Northwest. They have both been very proactively
engaged in planning for new transmission to access
wind resources to meet RPS requirements and to
better integrate which I think is really more
important because, yes, I will have to admit as a
wind advocate, even wind has its greenhouse gas
footprint, particularly when we put up more
transmission lines and you put steel and concrete in
the ground for wind turbine towers but to better
integrate intermittent wind into the electrical
system. This is, again, cited in several of these
staff comments in the staff reports assertions in
staff report.

The plan recognizes the importance of changing system operating procedures and business practices to better utilize the inherent flexibility of the existing system, a flexibility that we rarely get to because of contracts and because we simply manage the system for the benefit of the utilities that own the system and not for the consumers of the global energy. As the plan notes, these include improvements in wind forecasting into our scheduling, and in order to respond to the problem

1	of very rapid wind ramps which we recognize in the
2	industry is a problem. System planners need to
3	recognize the need for wind plant location diversity
4	and utility demand response programs, as well as, I
5	hate to say it, at least in the near term, rapid
6	response natural gas generators. We recognized back
7	in the 1990s when I was at the Public Utility
8	Commission the need to get off of coal, get the
9	dirty stuff off the grid and the clean stuff on, but
LO	that our best ally in the interim, until we can
L1	integrate wind well and until we have storage
L2	facilities that would work, and all sorts of stuff
L3	that may simply be still being worked on in
L 4	somebody's garage, we were going to need natural gas
L 5	which, with its lower carbon emissions, as a means
L 6	of load following wind integration to get to the
L7	ideal state that we all aspire to. Thank you very
L 8	much, and thank for a great start on the draft plan.
L 9	MS. EDEN: Thank you very much. Katherine
20	Philipson. Philipson? I can tell I butchered that
21	one.
22	MS. PHILIPSON: Many name is Katherine
23	Philipson, K-a-t-h-e-r-i-n-e P-h-i-l-i-p-s-o-n, and
24	I reside at 1817 Emerald Street in Eugene, Oregon.
25	So I'd like to keep my comments brief

1	because everyone has done such a wonderful job this
2	evening, but especially to join with my friend Nick
3	here in speaking for the youth movement in our
4	region. I'm a part of the planning committee for
5	Power Shift West which will be held at the
6	University of Oregon in November and will bring
7	hundreds of students to learn about climate change
8	issues and also the solutions, and I want to mention
9	to you that the Power Shift West steering committee
10	and many of the people that are a part of this
11	planning process have chosen moving beyond coal and
12	phasing out coal in our region as one of our top
13	campaign concerns. Like the person who just spoke
14	before me mentioned, we must reduce carbon emissions
15	on a global level in the most failed countries by 40
16	percent below 1990 levels by the year 2020 in order
17	to avoid catastrophic climate change above 2
18	degrees. And the science recognizes that above that
19	level, we'll be setting feedback loops that will
20	result in the worst kinds of climate impacts for the
21	people who are least responsible for these emissions
22	such as more extreme weather, flooding, changes in
23	rainfall patterns, and an increase in vector-borne
24	disease. And so, to me, it seems that I greatly
25	appreciate your efforts for conservation and your

1	focus on that. I really appreciate these aggressive
2	targets, and I would ask that you do as much as you
3	can to scale up planning for renewable energy in our
4	region, and the phase-out of coal, as well as taking
5	into the account the true price of carbon.
6	And I want to bring to your attention a
7	figure that I read here from a study by the
8	Northwest Energy Coalition called, "A Bright
9	Future," and they according to their study, a
10	complete phase-out of coal by 2020 in our region
11	would cost as little as six-tenths of a cent to
12	wholesale rates, so, to me, that seems a pittance to
13	avoid the worst impacts that will be seen in my
14	generation. So thank you for your time.
15	MS. EDEN: Thank you very much. Zachary
16	Stark-MacMillan
17	MR. STARK-MACMILLAN: Hi. My name is
18	Zachary Stark-MacMillan, S-t-a-r-k, hyphen, M-a-c-M-
19	i-l-l-a-n, and I'm a member of the Cascade Climate
20	Network which is a group of students at campuses all
21	up and down the coast in Oregon and Washington
22	working for climate solutions and a just future for
23	everybody, another planner for Power Shift West, and
24	I'm a student senator at the University of Oregon,
25	although I'm not speaking for the senate.

1	I would just like to echo what everybody
2	has said about moving forward and reducing our
3	overall carbon output. I think we have a great
4	opportunity with your plan with your already
5	aggressive targets to lay a blueprint for the whole
6	country. By phasing out coal in the Northwest, we
7	can show that it's possible for everyone to move
8	beyond coal. That's all. Thank you.
9	MS. EDEN: Thank you very much. Cat
10	Koehn, K-o-e-h-n. Help me.
11	MS. KOEHN: I'm looking for a guy named
12	Joe Smith. That's my ex-husband's name, and they
13	pronounce it "kane", although it's "koon". Ich bin
14	Deutsch sprechend. And I'm here to talk about
15	salmon. I live in Fall Creek, and Catherine is
16	pronounced with I mean Catherine is spelled with
17	a C, and it's K-o-e-h-n.
18	I'm here advocating for salmon and hope
19	that you will take all necessary steps to protect
20	them while you're trying to balance this delicate
21	act about power generation. You have made a good
22	start, and you're definitely going in the right
23	direction. And I'm very glad to see that you've
24	taken a review of the Snake River dam removal and
25	added that in because salmon really are on the brink

2.

right now. For 50 years, the power generators have not taken the needs of salmon into account. For 50 years, we've watched those numbers decline, and they're truly at the point of near extinction right now. And I'd like to point out that it's relatively easy to take down the four dams on the Snake River because, apparently, they need to reallocate 600 or 700 megawatts of power to affect that change, and we do need a carbon-free future as so many folks have said coming up here. I'm glad that you are starting to advocate renewables and energy efficiency. And a quote from "Trout Unlimited" here, from one of those studies, shows that it is affordable for us to be able to shut down coal plants.

So I support the market incentives that somebody was talking about to reduce power usage, and I'd like to bring up the case of Tucson as an example. Many years ago, Tucson was faced with a dire water shortage all of a sudden because of some esoteric argument about a canal there as a project. But, anyhow, they didn't know what to do, so they decided to double the rates for water in Tucson. And, lo and behold, what happened is that, within a year, the average power consumer cut in half their consumption of water. So we may have to actually

educate some of the public about conservation measures, but we should understand the power of energy conservation.

And, also, speaking of energy conservation on those dams again, in the news today, Obama has ordered the Army Corps to consider blowing up those four dams on the Snake. They have to do a workup on it. And a quote from that article I read in the paper was -- the Director of the American Rivers talked about the Snake River dam problem, and they said that -- pointed out that they generate 3 percent of the grid's power, and that the bulk of those four dams' power generation comes at a time when we have energy surpluses because it's in the spring.

So I want to end with two things. One, the public realizes that you face a difficult challenge, but you have to somehow find a way to do whatever it takes to protect salmon because they don't have much time left. Extinction is forever, and the loss of our salmon fishery would be unacceptable, and the economic repercussions of this loss of a fishery like this would reverberate throughout the Northwest and the whole country, and public support has always been behind saving salmon

1	in the Northwest. And I would like to submit a
2	quote from the Washington State Department of Fish
3	and Game who did a public opinion survey some years
4	ago, and the quote is, summarized, "Polls both in
5	Washington and in Oregon have consistently shown
6	that the majority of the public is willing to pay
7	increased electric rates to save salmon."
8	And, lastly, the climate change that all
9	of these young foresighted people good luck with
10	that, guys are facing makes it imperative that
11	the salmon have to be addressed. They can't be put
12	off any longer. So had we listened to the lesson of
13	the salmon, the canary in the coal mine, before
14	this, we wouldn't be in this tight jam right now.
15	We would have started to invest in renewables and do
16	the things that it takes to have real conservation.
17	So thanks for letting the public testify.
18	I hope you guys will stand up for the salmon. Thank
19	you.
20	MS. EDEN: Thank you very much. And the
21	last person that I have who has signed up to testify
22	is Greg Gardener.
23	MR. GARDNER: Thank you. My name is Greg
24	Gardner, and I am here on behalf of Blachly-Lane
25	Electric Cooperative. Last name is G-a-r-d-n-e-r.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I think it's great that -- the youth that's here. We'd like to find a way to get that kind of youth participation in our annual meetings, so let me know what you're onto there. We just have a few brief comments -- or I will on behalf of Blachy. We'd like to thank the Council for their work, and we feel that it's been a good overall effort. We think the draft plan does what it's supposed to do. It provides guidance and information to help the region meet its future power needs in a cost-effective and reasonable manner. Ιn general, the plan appears to be reasonable and thoughtful. We appreciate the fact that it has provided for some flexibility. The plan addresses region-wide resource needs, but individuals -individual utilities will face unique local conditions that might require us to deal with these issues separately, individually. And the plan explicitly allows resource acquisitions that meet utility needs, so we appreciate that. The last point I think we wanted to make was that we think that the conservation targets may be difficult for a small rural utility like ours to We're not too far off, but we have some

concerns given the -- you know, the current economic

```
conditions that affect our members and some of the
 1
 2.
   industrial customers that we serve, and so we'd like
 3
   you to take that into consideration and think about
 4
   those target levels. Those are the only comments I
 5
          I appreciate your time.
                                     Thank you.
 6
                        Thank you very much.
             MS. EDEN:
 7
   that, Mr. Gardener was the last person to sign up to
 8
   testify.
 9
             MR. MUELLER:
                            He's not.
                                        I signed up to
10
   testify, and you haven't called on me.
11
             MS. EDEN:
                         I'm sorry.
12
             MR. MUELLER:
                            And I thought there might
   have been a few others.
13
14
             MS. EDEN:
                        I don't have any other names on
15
   the sheet.
16
             MR. MUELLER:
                            There was a sheet at the
17
   door, and I signed in with my name and address on it
18
   -- my address and all that, so --
19
             MS. DUKE:
                         This is the opportunity for the
20
   people who haven't come up yet that want to, so
21
   you're --
22
             MR. MUELLER:
                            Great.
23
             MS. EDEN:
                         Sorry, I was about to say that.
24
   So go ahead.
25
             MR. MUELLER:
                            So, with that, I guess I get
```

```
1
           And my name's Karl Mueller, K-a-r-l M-u-e-l-
   to go.
 2.
   1-e-r.
 3
             MS. EDEN:
                         I apologize, because your name
 4
   is on the first sheet.
 5
                            Oh, okay.
             MR. MUELLER:
 6
             MS. EDEN:
                         I skipped over you
 7
   inadvertently.
 8
                            Well, I appreciate -- I
             MR. MUELLER:
 9
   appreciate knowing that for the record.
                                              I also
10
   appreciate your advice to avoid undo repetition.
11
   Being one of the last speakers, it becomes a little
12
   more of a challenge. I also appreciate seeing all
13
   my fellow citizens out here on what's kind of a
   wonky and dense subject matter, but very, obviously,
14
15
   urgent for the future of our region and the
16
   biosphere generally.
17
             Kind of the echo of what a lot of other
18
   persons have said, is that one of the things I most
19
   appreciate about the plan is the findings with
20
   regard to efficiency -- that we will be able to meet
21
   future need through efficiency.
                                     That's something
22
   that conservation groups and environmental groups
23
   have been saying for quite a while, and it's good to
24
   see that assertion vindicated.
                                    I thought I was
25
   going to be able to avoid repetition on the salmon
```

issue, but I just barely got beaten to it.

1

2 One of the things that I do appreciate 3 about the plan is the review of the costs that would be associated with replacing the power that's 4 5 generated on the lower Snake River dams. If my 6 raccoon eyes kind of don't give it away, I'm a fishing guide here on the south end of the valley and also on the midcoast, and to a certain extent, 8 9 like my colleagues on the Columbia and the Snake, my 10 job is directly related to having an abundance of salmon and steelhead to fish for. Unlike those 11 12 folks up there, the rivers that I fish on, at least 13 for salmon, aren't choked with hydropower dams. 14 Even so, even with that, it's been a tough couple of 15 years out there as I think a lot of people are aware 16 of. The recent increases on the Snake and on the 17 Columbia have seemed to take, maybe, a little bit of 18 the public's eye off that issue or kind of put a 19 salve on it to make it appear to be okay, but I do 20 want to point out that those gains have been in 21 hatchery fish, and those aren't counted for the 22 purposes of the Endangered Species Act or fish 23 It's nice recovery, so it's largely irrelevant. 24 that they've had good fishing for those, but it's 25 largely irrelevant from a conservation standpoint.

The guides and the anglers on the Columbia
and the Snake has seen people making the decisions
on the management of those dams place their needs
subordinate to those of hydropower generation and
big ag, as well as, you know, transport. There are
very few people who are going get rich guiding or
being commercial fishermen, but those pursuits do
pay a living wage, and you get to do something that
you love, and despite my beef with some of the
things that happen on the high seas, I assume that's
the same for commercial fisherman or fisher
people. It's particularly sad to see the interests
of the fish and the interests of the people who
depend on them subordinate to those other interests
I mentioned when there are easily not easily. I
shouldn't say easily, but there's clearly better
ways to manage that resource and still provide a
secure power supply, and it's really a shame that,
you know, the solution's there, and the steps just
aren't being taken to implement that solution. So
to that end, I do appreciate the Council's review of
the costs of replacing the power due to the removal
of the four lower Snake River dams. I would like to
see that analysis remain in the plan as it moves
forward.

I'd also like to point out that the
estimates of the costs of taking those actions and
the estimates for the amount of power the average
megawatts for new resources that would need to come
online to reliably run the system are the same at
least in the same ballpark of the numbers that
salmon and clean energy advocates have been
articulating for many years. They most certainly
are not the nameplate capacity numbers or the cost
estimates that the BPA has be trumpeting to anybody
that would listen, most recently the Obama
administration. The Council staff analysis confirms
what we in the conservation community have been
saying for many years, that we can affordably shut
down coal plants, we can start to electrify
transportation, we can restore endangered salmon on
the Snake through Snake River dam removal, develop
clean energy resources, and revitalize our economy
in the process. This Council needs to ensure that
the power system fulfills both its climate and its
salmon responsibilities while also meeting our
needs. I think it's a good start in that direction.
Naturally, I don't agree with everything
that's in the plan. I share a lot of the concerns
that other folks have articulated with regard to

biomass. I believe that we do need to get off coal
immediately. That's an urgent matter. I do fear
that if the plan is implemented without some of the
actions to get off existing coal plants, that will
do nothing more than stabilize our greenhouse gas
emissions, and it's apparent that we need to do more
than that. As I stated, I believe we need to get
off coal immediately, and this counsel can and
should chart a course towards a carbon-free future
that's based on more fully developing energy
efficiency, as recommended, and renewable energy
resources. Since I'm at the microphone right now,
my preferred sources are wind, solar, and
geothermal, just in case you wanted know.
The sixth draft plan is a good start. I
believe that we can and should do more for salmon
and steelhead, the people that depend on them, and
for our climate. Thank you for the opportunity to
testify.
MS FDFN. Thank won were much And won

MS. EDEN: Thank you very much. And you would have been the first to talk about salmon if I called you, so let the record reflect that. I'm going to check very quickly to see if there was anybody else I inadvertently skipped over. But with that being said, since we've come to the end of our

1	list, is there anyone else who would like to give
2	public testimony on the power plan? Now's your
3	chance. I'm hearing none and seeing none.
4	I want to thank you again for coming, and
5	we very much appreciate your thoughtful comments.
6	They will be transcribed, as well as the comments of
7	every other hearing. They will be distributed to
8	council members, and they will be considered as we
9	move toward a final plan. And the deadline is
10	November 6th.
11	MS. DUKE: If any of you want to submit
12	your comments in writing, either you can send them
13	to us in the mail, via e-mail, and you can go to the
14	website and post them there. We appreciate it.
15	Thank you.
16	MS. EDEN: Thank you. The hearing is
17	adjourned.
18	(Whereupon, the hearing was adjourned at
19	7:45 p.m.)
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	I, Jea H. OH, do hereby certify that pursuant
4	to the Rules of Civil Procedure, the witness named
5	herein appeared before me at the time and place set
6	forth in the caption herein; that at the said time
7	and place, I reported in stenotype all testimony
8	adduced and other oral proceedings had in the
9	foregoing matter; and that the foregoing transcript
LO	pages constitute a full, true and correct record of
L1	such testimony adduced and oral proceeding had and
L2	of the whole thereof.
L3	
L 4	IN WITNESS HEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this
L 5	13th day of October, 2009.
L 6	
L7	
L 8	
L 9	
20	/Signed June 01, 2012
21	Jea H. OH Commission Expiration
22	
23	
24	
25	

	Meeting Septe	ember 28, 2009 NRC	File # 10033-14	Page 71
	19:10	56:16	20:17	<b>97</b> 36:22
<b>\$0</b> 38:14	<b>10</b> 50:11	57 <b>:</b> 10	52 <b>:</b> 19	97403
50:25	52:15	<b>2025</b> 25:8	<b>50</b> 44:25	12:15
<b>\$1.5</b> 53:4	<b>11</b> 44:20	<b>2029</b> 39:4	59:1	97408
<b>\$1.7</b> 53:3	50 <b>:</b> 12	<b>2030</b> 52:17	59:2	39:11
<b>\$100</b> 38:14	11-percent	<b>2050</b> 51:18	<b>500</b> 18:20	
50 <b>:</b> 25	44:24	51:22		A
51:8	<b>12</b> 40:10	<b>21</b> 46:10	6 6 6:14	ability
\$2,745	40:23	<b>25</b> 28:10		9:11
52 <b>:</b> 17	<b>17th</b> 12:14		<b>6:00</b> 5:3 5:5	<b>able</b> 22:18
<b>\$315</b> 53:7	<b>1817</b> 55:24	<b>26</b> 46:15		24:25 29:18
<b>\$45</b> 38:21	<b>1970</b> 22:17	<b>28</b> 5:3	<b>60</b> 17:14 19:22	41:21
\$47	1970s	2-		51:2
38:21	17:12	degrees	<b>600</b> 7:10 59:7	59:14
39 <b>:</b> 3	<b>1973</b> 22:16	51 <b>:</b> 14		64:20
\$49		3	<b>6A</b> 50:12	64 <b>:</b> 25
38:22	<b>1990</b> 51:18 51:21	<b>3</b> 29:8	<b>6th</b> 69:10	abundance
39:3	56:16	52:20	7	16:7 65:10
<b>\$5.4</b> 52:16	<b>1990s</b> 55:7	60:11	<b>7:45</b> 69:19	
\$50	19905 33.7	<b>30</b> 20:22		accelerate 14:11
50 <b>:</b> 25	2	<b>320</b> 17:16	<b>700</b> 59:8	23:7
51 <b>:</b> 4	<b>2</b> 56:17	33707	<b>75</b> 51:20	accelerati
51:9	<b>20</b> 10:1	39 <b>:</b> 11	 8	on 27:6
<b>\$85</b> 24:6	32:11	<b>3930</b> 12:14	8:00 7:16	acceptable
	46:10		<b>80</b> 40:17	14:21
1 1 35:2	<b>200</b> 25:8	<b>3rd</b> 6:10	41:5	23:5
35:14	25:9	4	45:21	access
<b>1,000</b> 19:9	<b>2006</b> 36:22	<b>4</b> 35:2	51:17	54 <b>:</b> 4
29:17	<b>2008</b> 52:11	<b>40</b> 18:18	<b>80s</b> 13:18	accomplish
1,100	<b>2009</b> 5:3	56:15	<b>85</b> 8:11	<b>es</b> 15:22
19:10	44:14	<b>41</b> 46:13	10:3	according
1,200	47 <b>:</b> 3	<u></u> 10.10		32:14
10:19	2020	5	9	51:10
19:10	25 <b>:</b> 8	<b>5</b> 18:12	<b>90</b> 45:21	57 <b>:</b> 9
1	10.11	l	i .	

20:16

1,400

49:14

**95** 51:17

account

	Meeting Septe	ember 28, 2009 NRC	File # 10033-14	Page 72
20:4	across	63 <b>:</b> 17	30:9	50 <b>:</b> 15
57:5	42:6	63 <b>:</b> 18	adopts	52 <b>:</b> 7
59:2	47:6	addressed	30:24	afford
Accountabi	<b>act</b> 6:5	61 <b>:</b> 11	advanced	31 <b>:</b> 25
lity	8:2	addresses	34:21	affordable
12:21	58:21	62 <b>:</b> 14	advantage	59 <b>:</b> 13
accounted	65:22	adequate	8:14	affordably
19:23	action	8:1		67:14
accumulate	10:17	28:18	advantages 8:19 9:5	afraid
21:24	19:17	adequately	31:17	45:8
achieve	47:2	20:4		
29:18	51:4	28:23	adverse	<b>ag</b> 66:5
29:22	52 <b>:</b> 5	adhere	13:9	against
29:24	actions	32:25	42 <b>:</b> 25	36:1
29:25	9:10		advice	agencies
30:8	11:1	adjourned	64:10	19:2
41:14	67:2	69:17	advisor	agenda 7:8
43:2	68:4	69 <b>:</b> 18	50:8	_
achieving	active	administra	50 <b>:</b> 8	aggressive
28:20	28:9	tion	50:9	19:1
29:2	activities	53:19	advocacy	19:4
29:16	8:22	67 <b>:</b> 12	50 <b>:</b> 18	21:1
acknowledg	actually	administra	advocate	29 <b>:</b> 16
<b>e</b> 17:3	32:16	tive	54 <b>:</b> 8	30:24
acknowledg	35:23	6 <b>:</b> 22	59:11	57 <b>:</b> 1
es 19:15	50:10	admirable	advocates	58 <b>:</b> 5
	59 <b>:</b> 25	26 <b>:</b> 5	67 <b>:</b> 7	aggressive
acquired	<b>adapt</b> 13:7	admit	advocating	<b>ly</b> 19:20
	<b>add</b> 7:19	50:17	58:18	ago
acquiring 10:18	added	54 <b>:</b> 7	affairs	23:19
17:10	58:25	admittedly	5:18	27 <b>:</b> 15
	additional	16:2	affect	35:19
acquisitio	10:22	adopt	59:8	59 <b>:</b> 18
<b>n</b> 28:10		50:24	63:1	61:4
acquisitio	address	adopted		agreeing
<b>ns</b> 62:19	9:23	51:20	affected	40:15
acres	11:1 30:18		45:1	agreement
23:10	34:17	adoption	affiliated	40:15
	J → • ± /			

	Meeting Septe	mber 28, 2009 NRC	File # 10033-14	Page 73
40:17	14:16	amounts	anywhere	20:9
41:5	20:13	23:3	18:14	20:11
agreements	29:1	52 <b>:</b> 17	38:14	approaches
13:23	46:24	analysis	apologize	10:6
ahead	47:14	33 <b>:</b> 15	64:3	10:9
63:24	49:5	37 <b>:</b> 14		approve
	58 <b>:</b> 4	51 <b>:</b> 22	apparent 68:6	18:10
aim 23:25	alternativ	52 <b>:</b> 10		
air	<b>e</b> 9:14	52 <b>:</b> 23	apparently	Arabia
14:16	10:25	66:24	59:7	23:17
44:17	alternativ	67 <b>:</b> 12	appear	23:17
44:17	es	analyzing	65:19	<b>area</b> 19:19
44:23	22:6	38:13	appears	41:11
45:1	34:6		10:24	aren't
45:14	35:25	Angeles	62:12	65 <b>:</b> 13
45 <b>:</b> 22		45:14		65 <b>:</b> 21
Alberta	<b>am</b> 32:7	anglers	applaud	66 <b>:</b> 20
22:17	34:2	66:1	34:8	argue
22:20	35:25 45:13	annual	43:1	12:24
22:23	46:10	52 <b>:</b> 13	appreciate	
alive 18:1	46:25	52 <b>:</b> 17	5:21	arguing
	53:12	53:3	11:24	51 <b>:</b> 19
allocates	61:24	62 <b>:</b> 3	12:1	argument
19:23		answer	12:3	59 <b>:</b> 20
allocation	amazed	33:19	19:15	<b>Army</b> 60:6
20:3	16:19		29:5	_
28:18	American	anybody	56:25	article
<b>allow</b> 9:19	39:24	67:10	57:1	13:18
-11	44:14	68:24	62:13	13:20
allowing	60:9	anyhow	62:20	60 <b>:</b> 8
16:23	among	59 <b>:</b> 21	63:5	articulate
allows	29:24	anymore	64:8 64:9	<b>d</b> 67:25
62:19	53 <b>:</b> 8	21:18	64:10	articulati
<b>ally</b> 55:10	amount	anyone	64:12	<b>ng</b> 67:8
alone	10:18	69:1	64:19	Ashland
22:19	16:8		65:2	21:15
47:11	18:23	anything	66:21	
	38:1	22:19	69:5	<b>Aside</b> 44:6
already	52:15	40:6	69:14	aspire
13:4	67:3	49:7		55 <b>:</b> 17
14:14	07.5		approach	

	Meeting Septe	mber 28, 2009 NRC	File # 10033-14	Page 74
assertion	50:1	65 <b>:</b> 15	52 <b>:</b> 13	68 <b>:</b> 7
64:24	50:2	<b>away</b> 33:20	52 <b>:</b> 17	68:16
assertions	automatic	34:5	bear 6:1	benefit
54:14	33:9	47:3	beaten	54 <b>:</b> 21
assessment	availabili	48:1	65 <b>:</b> 1	benefits
18:22	ties	65 <b>:</b> 6	becomes	30 <b>:</b> 16
19:12	41:13		64:11	besides
51:7	available	B		7 <b>:</b> 8
assist	34:20	baby-	becoming	<b>best</b> 10:24
30:15	35:16	sitters	13:17 49:20	23:22
associated	40:5	25 <b>:</b> 18		25:22 25:13
8:16	40:7	backwards	<b>beef</b> 66:9	55 <b>:</b> 10
8:18	Avenue	24:14	begin 12:6	
30:17	12:14	<b>bad</b> 21:24	12:11	better
30:17	12:14		46:18	30:16
30:22	average	balance	beginning	35 <b>:</b> 22
65:4	17:14	22:11	5:25	42:8
	17:16	27 <b>:</b> 8		46:22
Associatio	19:9	29:5	behalf	49:6
n	19:22	58:20	53:20	54:6
39:24	20:16	ballpark	61:24	54:11
44:13	20:18	67 <b>:</b> 6	62:5	54:18
44:14	29:17	barely	behavior	66:16
assume	50:25	65 <b>:</b> 1	30:1	beyond
26:8	51:13	hammain	behind	29:1
66:10	59:24	<pre>bargain 52:21</pre>	9:21	30:19
asthma	67:3	52:21	60:25	56:11
44:19	avoid	barons		58 <b>:</b> 8
44:21	9:3	23:11	behold	<b>Bill</b> 16:17
44:25	9:4 9:20	<b>base</b> 30:5	59:23	16 <b>:</b> 19
48:22	51:15	based	beings	billboards
	56:17	31:18	25 <b>:</b> 22	
attention	57:13	38:19	believe	23:24 27:2
40:9	64:10	38:21	15 <b>:</b> 2	
57 <b>:</b> 6	64:25	52 <b>:</b> 10	18 <b>:</b> 25	billion
attractive	avoiding	68 <b>:</b> 10	30:21	52 <b>:</b> 16
9:17	8:19		31:5	53 <b>:</b> 4
audience	aware	basically	45 <b>:</b> 8	53 <b>:</b> 4
40:21	19:13	10:10	51:20	53 <b>:</b> 6
49:25	19:13	<b>basis</b> 51:3	68 <b>:</b> 1	bills
	I			

	Meeting Septe	mber 28, 2009 NRC	File # 10033-14	Page 75
17:21	62 <b>:</b> 5	41:10	18:10	<b>busy</b> 31:9
53:2	blessing	67 <b>:</b> 10	build	butchered
<b>bin</b> 58:13	42:16	breathe	29:23	55 <b>:</b> 20
biology	42:17	44:22	41:15	byproducts
32:10	blowing	45 <b>:</b> 17	41:22	25 <b>:</b> 14
biomass	21:21	Brenda	42:11	
13:10	60:6	26:15	building	
13:15	<b>blue</b> 5:17	26:16	23:13	calculator
14:5	blueprint	bridging	24:2	20:3
14:9	58:5	38:8	24:8	20:4
14:18		brief	31:21	California
23:6	<b>board</b> 18:9	7:9 7:12	33:21	13 <b>:</b> 24
26:21	30:4	7:20	<b>built</b> 24:9	45 <b>:</b> 14
30:11	32:20 36:21	11:14	32:23	45 <b>:</b> 18
30:12	36:21	55 <b>:</b> 25	<b>bulk</b> 60:12	45 <b>:</b> 20
36:1		62 <b>:</b> 4	<b>burn</b> 14:15	California
42:20	Boardman	Bright	25:4	-based
44:9	13:19	34 <b>:</b> 11		50 <b>:</b> 17
45:5 45:6	boards	57 <b>:</b> 8	burned	callus
46:4	18:9	bring	44:11 44:12	22 <b>:</b> 5
52:2	<b>bold</b> 34:5	11:10		
68:1	Bonneville	16:12	burning	campaign
	19:23	56:6	14:5	56:13
biosphere 64:16	53:18	57 <b>:</b> 6	14:9	campuses
	Bonneville	59 <b>:</b> 17	21:20	47 <b>:</b> 1
Bishop	's 20:10	bringing	22:3 23:9	57 <b>:</b> 20
36:18	29:11	53 <b>:</b> 24	23:15	canal
36:19			36:1	59 <b>:</b> 20
36:20 53:12	boost 23:2	brink	49:18	canary
	bothering	58 <b>:</b> 25	burnings	61 <b>:</b> 13
<b>bit</b> 5:5	33:14	broad	13:10	cancer
9:21	bottom-	29:23	13:16	25:13
30:5	<b>up</b> 20:9	broaden		25 <b>:</b> 20
42:9 65:17	Bowerman	33 <b>:</b> 16	business 18:1	capacity
	39:9	brown	54:17	10:23
Blachly-	39:10	45 <b>:</b> 16		50:13
Lane	39:10	45:23	businesses	67 <b>:</b> 9
61:24	BPA	budgets	26:24	capturing
Blachy				Captaring

	Meeting Septe	ember 28, 2009 NRC	File # 10033-14	Page 76
53:10	free	25 <b>:</b> 14	changes	<b>ces</b> 26:6
carbon	59:9	certain	56 <b>:</b> 22	cited
8:16 9:5	68:9	65 <b>:</b> 8	changing	54 <b>:</b> 13
9:15	careful	certainly	54 <b>:</b> 17	cities
9:22	26:23	16 <b>:</b> 7	Chapter	22:22
9:23	carefully	45 <b>:</b> 19	35 <b>:</b> 2	
10:3	6:24	51:23	50:11	citizen
10:5		67 <b>:</b> 8	50:12	26:19
10:7	Cascade	<b>chair</b> 5:10		34:3
10:11	57:19	Chair 5:10	Charles	36:22
21:23	<b>case</b> 59:17	challenge	5 <b>:</b> 14	41:8
24:17	68:14	26 <b>:</b> 3	<b>chart</b> 28:1	citizens
30:24	cases 27:5	60 <b>:</b> 18	68:9	12:21
30 <b>:</b> 25	38:1	64 <b>:</b> 12	cheap	64:13
31:14		chance	31:19	<b>city</b> 47:7
37:11	<b>Cat</b> 58:9	46:22	check	- claim
37 <b>:</b> 21	catastroph	69:3		
38:12	ic	change	68:23	23:16
38:13	13:5	9:19	children	clean
38:15	56:17	9:19 14:12	48:22	27 <b>:</b> 22
38:16	Catherine	26:10	C-h-i-r-	34:6
38:25	58:15	39:17	i-1-1	55 <b>:</b> 9
39:7	58:16	39:17	12:13	67 <b>:</b> 7
45:9		41:25		67 <b>:</b> 18
45:10	caught	42:2	Chirillo	<b>clear</b> 10:4
45:12	31:9	42:2	12:8	
51:1	cause	42:3 42:4	12:9	clearcut
51 <b>:</b> 5	25:13	45 <b>:</b> 12	12:9	23:13
51:7	34:14	50:12	12:10	clearcutti
51:17	caused	51:6	12:13	ng
51:21	14:12	51:7	12:18	14:7
52 <b>:</b> 5		51:12	12:20	24:13
55:15	causing	51:12	choices	clearly
56:14	22:1	51:16	41:17	30:18
57 <b>:</b> 5	caution	52:11	41:23	48:1
58:3	32:12	52:11	choked	66:16
carbon-	cent 57:11	56 <b>:</b> 7	65:13	
emitting		56:17		clicker
30 <b>:</b> 25	centigrade	59 <b>:</b> 8	chosen	9:21
_	51:14	J J • O	56:11	<b>cliff</b> 14:2

61:8

carbon-

centuries

circumstan

**cliff** 14:2

	Meeting Se	otember 28, 2009 NRC	File # 10033-14	Page 77
climate	33:18	58:6	53:25	69 <b>:</b> 5
13:3	coal	58:8	65:9	69:6
13:5	9:16	59:14	65 <b>:</b> 17	69:12
13:10	9:25	61:13	66:1	commercial
14:12	10:14	67 <b>:</b> 15	combustion	66 <b>:</b> 7
21:25	10:14	68:1	23:6	66:11
25:3	21:20	68:4		
39:17	22:3	68:8	comes	commission
39:19	22:6	coal-	45:16	50:24
41:25	24 <b>:</b> 22	free	60:13	55:8
42:2	25:7	13:12	coming 7:7	commission
42:3	25:9	13:17	7:19	er
42:4	26:8	49:14	30:15	34:2
45:12	27 <b>:</b> 6		39:4	36:21
50:11	27 <b>:</b> 6	Coalition	59:10	50 <b>:</b> 7
51:6	27 <b>:</b> 18	34:10	69:4	Commission
51:7	33:20	57:8	commend	's 33:2
51:12	34 <b>:</b> 5	coast 47:8	53:21	
51:13	34:15	57:21		committee
51:16	34:21	cogenerati	comment	5:11
52:7	38:2	on	6:11	56:4
52:11	38:5	17:9	6:12	56:9
52:14	38:8	35:17	6:17 7:5 11:15	committees
56:7	42:21		16:24	41:9
56:17	46:17	cold 43:20	21:2	communitie
56:20	47:16	43:24	21:3	s
57:19	47 <b>:</b> 25	colder	25:11	14:10
57:22	47 <b>:</b> 25	22:20	52:2	14:19
61:8	48:6	collapse		47 <b>:</b> 3
67:20	48:7	26:13	comments	
68:18	48:7	gallanged	6:20	community
close	48:20	collapsed	6:21 7:4	17:24
42:14	49:8	23:14	15:20	43:19
closely	49:22	colleague	17:24	44:10
31:6	51:3	5:11	49:12	44:24
	51:24	colleagues	50:9	67:13
closer	55 <b>:</b> 8	65:9	52:1	community-
25:15	56:11	college	54:14	building
<b>Club</b> 22:13	56 <b>:</b> 12	47:1	55:25	14:24
46:9	57 <b>:</b> 4		62:5	companies
<b>co2</b> 32:3	57 <b>:</b> 10	Columbia	63:4	14:14

	Meeting Septe	ember 28, 2009 NRC	File # 10033-14	Page 78
22:5	20:23	6:6 8:14	34:7	6 <b>:</b> 23
company	28:16	10:18	35 <b>:</b> 3	40:12
18:19	31:12	10:20	35 <b>:</b> 4	45 <b>:</b> 19
	32:6	12:23	35 <b>:</b> 11	69 <b>:</b> 8
Compare	concerned	14:4	36:9	consistent
52:18	19 <b>:</b> 22	15 <b>:</b> 25	36:12	<b>ly</b> 61:5
compelling	20:6	16:1	41:12	_
53:9	22 <b>:</b> 21	17:1	43:3	constructi
compensate	44:7	17:4	43:16	<b>on</b> 33:7
<b>d</b> 28:24	45 <b>:</b> 3	17:7	43:22	consumed
	concerns	17:11	45:19	42:8
compensati	21:18	17 <b>:</b> 12	47 <b>:</b> 18	consumer
<b>ng</b> 26:24	30:11	17:15	49:2	32 <b>:</b> 1
compensati	56:13	18:3	52:19	42 <b>:</b> 12
ons 27:3	62:25	18:6	53:1	53:19
competitiv	67:24	18:11	53:10	59 <b>:</b> 24
<b>e</b> 8:25		18:13	56:25	
	concerted	18:18	60:1	consumers
complete	14:22	18:21	60:3	53:17
57:10	52 <b>:</b> 5	18:23	60:4	54 <b>:</b> 22
complex	conclude	19:7	61:16	consumer's
24:7	26:6	19:11	62:22	28:23
computer	concluding	19:12	64:22	Consumers
7:20	13:20	19:21 19:24	65:25 67:13	15 <b>:</b> 12
conceivabl	concrete	20:2		15 <b>:</b> 13
		20:5	conserve	consumptio
<b>Y</b>	54:10	20:5	43:1	n
34:24	conditions	23:21	consider	24 <b>:</b> 25
41:17	62 <b>:</b> 17	24:11	14:19	40:11
concentrat	63:1	26:25	16:9	40:24
ed	condos	27 <b>:</b> 7	25 <b>:</b> 24	42 <b>:</b> 2
22:6	23:14	28:9	33 <b>:</b> 6	59 <b>:</b> 25
24:23	confirms	28:13	33:8	continuall
concept	67:12	28 <b>:</b> 15	36:8	
41:24		28:19	60 <b>:</b> 6	<b>y</b> 45:23
concern	consensus	28:21	considerat	continuati
10:4	29:24	29:9	ion	<b>on</b> 36:1
15:24	30:7	29:15	25 <b>:</b> 24	continue
16:3	conservati	29:25	63:3	29:3
19:19	<b>on</b> 5:8	31 <b>:</b> 18	considered	38:1
13.13	6 <b>:</b> 3		Constdered	

	Meeting Sep	tember 28, 2009 NRC	File # 10033-14	Page 79
continuing	27 <b>:</b> 12	cost-	37:14	couple
36:2	32:13	effectiv	49:15	15 <b>:</b> 20
contractin	38:18	<b>e</b> 62:11	49:22	65 <b>:</b> 14
g	cost	costs	50:15	course
25:25	7:24	27:24	62:6	5 <b>:</b> 23
52:9	8:10	31:3	67 <b>:</b> 12	21:20
contractor	8:25	31:16	67:19	68 <b>:</b> 9
	10:9	42:19	69:8	court
<b>S</b>	17:18	53:7	Council's	11:25
17:25	17 <b>:</b> 22	65:3	5:19 6:4	
29:21	23:3	66:22	6:22 7:3	cracked
contracts	27 <b>:</b> 22	67 <b>:</b> 2	7:23 8:5	48:20
54:20	27 <b>:</b> 22		19:1	<b>crazy</b> 24:2
contribute	28:21	<b>Cottage</b> 32:17	20:3	create
<b>s</b> 8:20	29:10		28:11	49:4
	30:22	council	66:21	
contributo	30:25	5:8 5:10	counsel	creates
<b>r</b> 10:5	33:17	5:13 6:3	68:8	8:21 9:7
controvers	35:12	6 <b>:</b> 5		creating
ial	35:24	6 <b>:</b> 8	count	17:4
30:10	38:12	6:9 6:12	45:10	Creek
converging	38:16	6:14	counted	58:15
47:6	38:25	6:24	65:21	
	39:3	11:16	countless	crisis
conversati	41:18	15:25	21:23	15 <b>:</b> 1
on 17:10	42:15	16:9		24:12
41:4	42:20	17:3	countries	critical
conversion	42:21	17:6	56:15	46:17
30:8	42:23	17:18	country	<b>Crow</b> 5:19
Cooperativ	51:1	19:13	18:15	
<b>e</b> 61:25	51:5	19:15	42 <b>:</b> 7	culture
	51 <b>:</b> 7	20:11	46:15	40:25
coordinate	52:11	20:25	46:24	<b>curbs</b> 48:5
<b>d</b> 53:23	52:14	26:20	58 <b>:</b> 6	current
Corps 60:6	52 <b>:</b> 18	30:14	60 <b>:</b> 24	17:6
correct	53:2	34:8	counts	18:9
15:9	53:5	35:4	44:21	19:12
	53:15	35:15	county	32:25
correcting	53:16	35:22	_	46:11
11:25	57:11	36:8	44:15 44:21	47:13
correctly	67 <b>:</b> 9	37:3	44:41	47:15

	Meeting Septe	ember 28, 2009 NRC	File # 10033-14	Page 80
49:22	25:21	59:22	delighted	52:24
51:15	51:6	decision	53 <b>:</b> 12	details
62:25	dams 34:16	47:12	demand	21:3
currently	59:6	decisions	22:11	detoxify
12:24	60:5	6:24	29 <b>:</b> 7	25 <b>:</b> 16
13:1	60:7	66:2	37 <b>:</b> 6	Deutsch
19:11	60:13	decline	47:17	58:14
32:7	65:5	59 <b>:</b> 3	55 <b>:</b> 4	
35:7	65:13		demonstrat	develop
curse	66:3	decommissi	ion 11:7	6 <b>:</b> 6
42:16	66:23	oning	dense	67 <b>:</b> 17
customers	danger	51:24	64:14	developing
11:11	47:19	dedicating		10:20
17:19	Daniel	29:8	department	68:10
18:16	49:24	deeply	16:21	devoted
28:25	day 44:5	20:13	18:18	7 <b>:</b> 5
29:4	_	20:20	32:15	<b>die</b> 14:12
29:12	<b>days</b> 32:16	define	61:2	
29:22	45:15	35:2	depend	<b>dies</b> 32:4
29:23	deadline		66:14	difference
63:2	69:9	defines	68:17	43:18
cut	deal	35 <b>:</b> 4	depletion	different

definitely

deforestat

58:22

ion

14:11

23:8

degree

32:10

40:14

40:15

9:11

15:22

24:20

62:17

debate

19:7

decade

53:17

**debt** 24:6

23:11

59:24

cutting

36:3

dam

D

31:11

32:9

45:9

32:14 33:4	decades 14:13	48:3 51:25	despite 18:7	41:19 43:10
33:6 58:24	18:17 21:13 25:3	degrees 40:2	23:8 66:9	60:17 62:23
60:10 67:17 damage	26:1 decided	56:18 <b>delicate</b> 58:20	destroyed 48:21 detail	<pre>difficulti   es 9:7 digging</pre>
	eLI Reporti Deposition Exper	Serving all of	(800) 528-3335  [aegeliReporting.c]  Washington, Oregon, Idaho a	and the Nation

23:23

24:18

24:20

23:14

31:23

designed

47:9

desert

design

10:6

15:23

39:13

40:23

41:12

difficult

20:9

22:10

31:25

37:13

	Meeting Septe	ember 28, 2009 NRC	File # 10033-14	Page 81
21:23	19:6	40:2	12 <b>:</b> 25	earlier
dioxide	discussion	40:4	<b>draw</b> 40:9	48:17
51:7	<b>s</b> 19:25	49:16	drinking	49:12
<b>dire</b> 59:19		51 <b>:</b> 22	32:17	49:17
	<b>disease</b> 56:24	56:1		early
direct		<b>door</b> 63:17	drive	13 <b>:</b> 18
18:4	disown	Dorena	34:25	50 <b>:</b> 2
direction	38:24	31:11	driver	easily
19:18	distribute	32:9	41:16	66:15
58:23	<b>d</b> 69:7	double	43:4	66:15
67 <b>:</b> 22	District	59 <b>:</b> 22	drivers	66:16
directly	28:7		42 <b>:</b> 10	<b>East</b> 12:14
65:10	diversiona	downside	42:12	
director		18:5	droopy	eastern
5:14	<b>ry</b> 42:5	downstream	8:13	22 <b>:</b> 7
5:19	diversity	32:17		easy
60:9	16 <b>:</b> 15	downturns	due	18:8
directorsh	29:5	18:2	53:15	59 <b>:</b> 6
	55 <b>:</b> 3	downwind	66 <b>:</b> 22	echo 49:11
<b>ip</b> 41:8	diverting	45:5	Duemler	58 <b>:</b> 1
directs	22:23		43:6	64 <b>:</b> 17
6:5	division	dozen	43:6	economic
dirtiest	5 <b>:</b> 15	18:11	43:7	8:1 8:21
21:20	5 <b>:</b> 18	draft	43:8	18:1
<b>dirty</b> 55:9	documented	5 <b>:</b> 1	43:11	52 <b>:</b> 10
disadvanta	25:13	5:7 5:21	D-u-e-m-	52 <b>:</b> 11
ge 20:7	dollars	6:10	l-e-r	53 <b>:</b> 9
	53:6	6:13	43:11	60 <b>:</b> 22
disadvanta		6:15 7:2 7:6	DUEMLER	62 <b>:</b> 25
ged	done 11:12	7.0 15:21	43:7	economy
20:21	19:2	34:13	43:10	25 <b>:</b> 25
disaster	20:5 27:5	46:12	<b>DUKE</b> 63:19	47:23
27:18	29:10	47 <b>:</b> 13	69:11	52 <b>:</b> 14
27:23	32:13	55 <b>:</b> 18	<b>Dukes</b> 5:12	52 <b>:</b> 16
disclosure	36:11	62 <b>:</b> 8		53 <b>:</b> 3
40:4	37:9	68 <b>:</b> 15	<b>during</b> 25:17	67 <b>:</b> 18
disconnect	37 <b>:</b> 13	drafting	46:11	ECONorthwe
39:16	37:16	46:14	40.11	<b>st</b> 52:9
discussion	39:23	drastic	E	<b>Eden</b> 5:5

	Meeting Septe	ember 28, 2009 NRC	File # 10033-14	Page 82
5:9	educate	8:1	45:7	56:14
11:19	60:1	32 <b>:</b> 23	53 <b>:</b> 17	56 <b>:</b> 21
12:10	education	effort 6:9	Electric's	68 <b>:</b> 6
12:16	44:1	9:23	52 <b>:</b> 20	enabling
12:19		14:23		13 <b>:</b> 7
15 <b>:</b> 2	educationa	15 <b>:</b> 21	electrify	
15 <b>:</b> 9	<b>1</b> 44:3	29:3	67 <b>:</b> 15	encapsulat
15 <b>:</b> 17	effect	29:13	<b>else</b> 33:14	<b>es</b> 46:17
15 <b>:</b> 19	45:2	29:20	48:17	encourage
16:16	effective	30 <b>:</b> 3	68:24	16:9
21:5	10:9	43:16	69:1	21:16
21:9	42:15	62 <b>:</b> 8	e-mail	27 <b>:</b> 5
26:14		efforts	69 <b>:</b> 13	30:14
26:18	effects	23:12		44:4
27 <b>:</b> 10	8:17	26:4	embrace	49:22
28 <b>:</b> 5	44:7	26:4 27:7	30:14	encouraged
31:7	46:20	56 <b>:</b> 25	Emerald	34:4
33:24	efficienci		28:6	
36:17	<b>es</b> 43:2	either	55 <b>:</b> 24	encourages
39:8	efficiency	13:14	emission	27:1
43:5	8:8 8:10	69:12	49:13	endangered
43:8	23:21	electric	emissions	65 <b>:</b> 22
46:5	26:5	6:6 22:3		67:16
48:12	31:13	36 <b>:</b> 21	9:16	energy 8:8
48:14	34:12	41:2	10:3	8 <b>:</b> 10
49:24	35:5	61 <b>:</b> 7	10:5	8 <b>:</b> 20
50:3	36:25	61 <b>:</b> 25	10:11	8 <b>:</b> 24
55 <b>:</b> 19	38:19	electrical	18:4	10 <b>:</b> 2
57 <b>:</b> 15	38:20	54:12	24:18	12 <b>:</b> 22
58:9	45 <b>:</b> 7		31:14 33:18	13 <b>:</b> 25
61:20	47 <b>:</b> 18	electricit	34:24	14 <b>:</b> 6
63:6	49:2	<b>y</b> 8:12	37:11	16 <b>:</b> 21
63:11	50:16	21:12	37:11 37:12	17 <b>:</b> 7
63:14	52:19	22:15	37:12 37:17	17 <b>:</b> 10
63:23	53:1	22 <b>:</b> 20		17 <b>:</b> 21
64:3	53:11	23:6	47:21 50:21	18 <b>:</b> 16
64:6	59:11	23:9	51:8	21:17
68:20	64:20	23:16	51:8	24:12
69:16	64:21	31:19	51:17	25 <b>:</b> 6
edifice	68:11	35 <b>:</b> 5	52:6	25 <b>:</b> 23
24 <b>:</b> 7		36 <b>:</b> 4	52:6 55:15	26:5
	efficient		22:12	

	Meeting Septe	mber 28, 2009 NRC	File # 10033-14	Page 83
31:22	68:10	talists	etcetera	24:4
32:2	68:11	22:12	32:5	24:10
34:10	engage	eons 21:24	32:5	27 <b>:</b> 4
35:4	52:21		ethics	34:2
35:10		<b>EPUD</b> 28:8	40:1	43:15
35:12	engaged	equally		44:2
35 <b>:</b> 17	6:8 54:4	19:3	Eugene 5:2	53:18
36:25	Engelfried	Ernst	12:14	EWEB's
38:19	46:6	33:25	24:7	16:21
38:20	46:7	34:1	28:7	17 <b>:</b> 6
41:2	E-n-g-e-		36:20	24:13
41:3	1-f-r-	E-r-n-s-	39:11	
41:12	i-e-d	<b>t</b> 34:1	52:9	examined
42:19	46:8	<b>ERNST</b> 34:1	55:24	31:5
42:22		agganad	evening	example
45:18	ENGELFRIED	<b>escaped</b> 45:21	5:21 7:8	9:25
46:12	46:7		7:18	11:8
46:16	enhance	esoteric	12:20	31:17
47:4	34:16	59 <b>:</b> 20	28 <b>:</b> 17	49:20
47:10	enhancemen	especially	30:11	59:18
47:17		42:3	34:3	exceed
48:2	<b>ts</b> 50:18	44:8	36:19	41:14
48:8	enjoy	45 <b>:</b> 4	56 <b>:</b> 2	42:11
50:7	42:17	49 <b>:</b> 2	events	
50:8	ensure	56 <b>:</b> 2	13:5	exceeding
50:8	41:3	essentiall		41:20
50:15	67:19		everybody	excited
52:18		<b>y</b>	57:23	49:1
52 <b>:</b> 23	ensures	33:10	58:1	exciting
52 <b>:</b> 25	40:1	37:24	everyone	49:9
53 <b>:</b> 2	ensuring	estate	56 <b>:</b> 1	
53:10	28:18	23:13	58 <b>:</b> 7	executive
54:23	environmen	estimate	everything	5:19
57 <b>:</b> 3	tal	35 <b>:</b> 12	25:24	ex-
57 <b>:</b> 8	14:10		67:23	husband'
59:11	21:17	estimates		<b>s</b> 58:12
60:3	23:3	25:7	evidence	<b>exist</b> 25:5
60:4	32:15	35:24	42:13	exist 25:5
60:14	48:20	67:2	<b>EWEB</b> 16:22	existing
67 <b>:</b> 7	64:22	67:3	19:8	47 <b>:</b> 25
67 <b>:</b> 18	07.22	67:10	19:19	48:7



environmen

## (800) 528-3335 Naegeli Řeporting.com

19:19

51:24

Meeting	September 28, 2009	NRC File # 10033-14	Page 84

	Meeting Septe	ember 28, 2009 NRC	File # 10033-14	Page 84
54:19	exposure	61:10	57 <b>:</b> 7	43:9
68:4	17 <b>:</b> 22	<b>fact</b> 62:13	figures	49:20
expand	expressed	failed	52 <b>:</b> 13	64 <b>:</b> 4
35:10	19:14	56 <b>:</b> 15	figuring	68 <b>:</b> 21
36:11	28:16		25 <b>:</b> 16	<b>fish</b> 8:5
expanded	extent	failure		33 <b>:</b> 8
35:21	65:8	39:18	<b>final</b> 6:25	34:16
		fall	69:9	61:2
expected 37:1	extinction	47 <b>:</b> 2	finally	65 <b>:</b> 11
	22:1 59:4	47 <b>:</b> 5	25 <b>:</b> 11	65 <b>:</b> 12
expects	60:20	58:15	26:1	65:21
35:15		<b>fast</b> 33:10	37:4	65:22
35:23	extra	45 <b>:</b> 22	53:20	66:13
expended	41:18	faster	finding	fisher
18:12	extraction	23:11	8:22	66 <b>:</b> 11
27:4	22:17	26:7	findings	fisheries
expenditur	22:24	<b>fear</b> 68:2	8:8 9:24	33:1
<b>e</b> 18:11	extreme		41:7	fisherman
expenditur	31:13	federal	64:19	66:11
<b>es</b> 27:25	56 <b>:</b> 22	28:14	finite	fishermen
	<b>eye</b> 65:18	29:11	26:2	66:7
expense	eyes 65:6	feedback	<b>fire</b> 53:7	
24:10 28:4	eyes 05.0	56:19		fishery
	F	<b>feel</b> 15:21	Firefighti	60:21
experience	face 60:17	46:23	<b>ng</b> 53:7	60 <b>:</b> 23
18:24	62:16	62 <b>:</b> 7	fires	fishing
38:6		<b>fees</b> 40:12	44:10	65 <b>:</b> 7
experience	<b>faced</b> 26:4	40:25	<b>firm</b> 52:10	65 <b>:</b> 24
<b>s</b> 44:9	59:18	fellow	firming	<b>five</b> 6:7
explicit	facilitate	64:13	28:13	10:19
51:1	46:25		31:3	12:16
explicitly	47:9	felony 24:1	first	13:2
50:23	facilities		8:8 12:7	32:17
62:19	14:16	fifth	12:23	46:13
explore	55 <b>:</b> 12	26:14	14:4	five-
39:16	facility	fighting	15:3	year 6:8
	32:18	49:8	17:14	10:17
export	facing	figure	26:20	19:17
22:21		42 <b>:</b> 25	39:13	flexibilit

y     14:8     24:23     22:6     62:10       10:23     14:18     25:2     26:12     64:15       11:4     23:10     26:12     46:19     64:21       50:13     44:10     46:19     47:4     68:9       54:18     45:8     47:2     47:23       54:19     forests     47:4     48:2     G       62:14     14:12     47:23     fulfills     gain 41:2       floating     23:11     48:2     67:20     gains       25:1     24:13     foundation     full     65:20       flooding     36:3     45:9     four-     37:1     garage       fluctuatio     46:3     year     40:3     55:14       n 17:23     48:21     29:17     40:5     Gardener
11:4       23:10       26:12       46:19       64:21         50:13       44:10       46:19       47:4       68:9         54:18       45:8       47:2       47:23       48:2       G         54:19       forests       47:4       48:2       G       gain 41:2         62:14       14:12       48:2       67:20       gains         25:1       24:13       foundation s 48:21       65:20       Game 61:3         56:22       46:3       46:4       37:1       40:3       garage         50:24       46:4       29:17       40:5       55:14
50:13       44:10       46:19       47:4       68:9         54:18       45:8       47:2       47:23       48:2       G         54:19       forests       47:4       48:2       G       gain 41:2         62:14       14:12       48:2       fulfills       gains       41:2         floating       23:11       foundation       full       65:20       65:20         flooding       36:3       45:9       33:3       Game 61:3         fluctuatio       46:3       year       40:3       55:14         7:17:23       46:4       29:17       40:5       55:14
54:18       45:8       47:2       47:23       48:2       G         54:19       forests       47:4       48:2       G         62:14       14:12       47:23       fulfills       gain 41:2         floating       23:11       48:2       67:20       gains         25:1       24:13       foundation       full       65:20         flooding       36:3       s 48:21       33:3       Game 61:3         56:22       46:3       year       40:3       garage         fluctuatio       46:4       29:17       40:5       55:14
54:19       forests       47:4       48:2       G         62:14       14:12       47:23       fulfills       gain 41:2         floating       23:11       48:2       67:20       gains         25:1       24:13       foundation full       65:20         flooding       36:3       45:9       33:3       Game 61:3         56:22       46:3       year       40:3       garage         fluctuatio       46:4       29:17       40:5       55:14
floating       23:11       47:23       fulfills       gain 41:2         floating       23:11       foundation       full       65:20         flooding       36:3       s 48:21       33:3       Game 61:3         floctuatio       46:3       year       40:3       garage         fluctuatio       46:4       29:17       40:5
62:14       14:12       47:23       fulfills       gain 41:2         floating       23:11       48:2       67:20       gains         25:1       24:13       foundation       full       65:20         flooding       36:3       45:9       33:3       Game 61:3         56:22       46:3       year       40:3       garage         fluctuatio       46:4       29:17       40:5
floating       23:11       48:2       67:20       gains         25:1       24:13       foundation       full       65:20         flooding       36:3       \$ 48:21       33:3       Game 61:3         56:22       46:3       year       40:3       garage         fluctuatio       46:4       29:17       40:5       55:14
25:1 24:13 foundation full 65:20  flooding 36:3 s 48:21 33:3 Game 61:3  56:22 four- 37:1 garage fluctuatio 46:4 29:17 40:5
flooding       36:3       s 48:21       33:3       Game 61:3         56:22       46:3       year       40:3       garage         56:4       29:17       40:5
56:22
fluctuatio 46:3 year 40:3 garage 55:14
n 17:23 46:4 29:17 40:5 55:14
i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i
focus 49:1 forever fragmented fully 40:7 61:22
57:1 60:20 68:10 63:7
focusing form 35:17 free 46:17 fund 19:4 Gardner
40:11 42:22 <b>fresh furnace</b> 61:24
44.23 43:25
G-a-r-d-
50:/
59:9 65:12 <b>former</b> 31:21 7:24 8:4 61:25 56:2 8:12
36:20 GARDNER
50:6   friends   13.25   61:23
food 26:11   forth 53:6   31:11   24:3   gas 9:13
footprint   33:22   25:17   9:20
54:9   $29.13$   $30.21$   $25:21$   $10:24$
forecastin 58.2   fuel 28:25   13:15
<b>q</b> 54:24   66:25   8:17 9:4   29:4   13:15
13:8 32:4 16:11
17.10
22:14
foreseeing         36:16         22:22         34:11         22:15
32:22 <b>fossil</b> 22:24 39:2 22:17
foresighte 13:8 24:14 46:16 22:19
<b>d</b> 61:9 13:14 24:24 47:10 22:23
forest 21:21 25:2 48:9 22:25 25:5 57.9 23.1
13:10
14.5   22:4   <b>fuels</b>   57.22   23.2
14:5 14:6 22:5 13:14 59:9 24:21

	Meeting Septe	ember 28, 2009 NRC	File # 10033-14	Page 86
24:21	8 <b>:</b> 23	e-n	36 <b>:</b> 13	32:9
25 <b>:</b> 10	10:22	15:18	37 <b>:</b> 21	32:12
26:9	46:23	GILLEN	gone	32:20
33:18	47:19	15 <b>:</b> 8	7:21	33:10
34:22	48:10	15:11	26:12	46:21
34:24	57 <b>:</b> 14	15:18	45 <b>:</b> 25	greenhouse
37 <b>:</b> 12	58 <b>:</b> 21	15:20	49:25	12:25
37 <b>:</b> 17	60:13			18:4
49:13	66 <b>:</b> 4	Gillian	gotten	34:18
49:18	generation	5:14	20:16	34:24
50:21	s	15 <b>:</b> 12	20:17 37:15	37 <b>:</b> 12
54:8	25 <b>:</b> 17	given 27:3	37:13	37 <b>:</b> 17
55:6	25 <b>:</b> 21	38 <b>:</b> 22	graph	47 <b>:</b> 21
55:14	generation	39:21	39:21	49:13
68:5		62 <b>:</b> 25	40:10	50:21
gases 13:1	's 25:19	gives	great	54:8
34:18	generators	17:23	15 <b>:</b> 22	68:5
gas-	55 <b>:</b> 6	<b>glad</b> 45:20	16:8	<b>Greg</b> 61:22
fired	59 <b>:</b> 1	45:24	22:9	61:23
10:15	genetic	58:23	24:10	
	25 <b>:</b> 21	59:10	43:16	grid
gathering	gently		47 <b>:</b> 14	11:8
47:8	24:25	global	47 <b>:</b> 18	22:3
gathers		37:17	47 <b>:</b> 19	22:7
44:18	geothermal	46:20	55 <b>:</b> 18	22:7
general	30:13	47:21	58:3	22:8
40:13	53 <b>:</b> 25	48:24	62 <b>:</b> 1	22:11
41:24	68:14	51:13	63:22	23:23
52:20	Germany	54:23	greater	50:14
62:12	25 <b>:</b> 7	56:15	24:12	50:18
	gets 48:4	globally	27 <b>:</b> 4	53:20
generally	_	25 <b>:</b> 7		53:25 54:1
40:12	getting	25 <b>:</b> 25	<pre>greatest 26:3</pre>	55:9
64:16	37 <b>:</b> 3	goal		
generate	42:17	7:23	40:14	grid's
36:3	giant	13:12	40:15	60:12
60:11	24:14		greatly	gross
generated	Gillen	goals	24:24	18:12
65:5	15:3	28:19	56:24	ground
		28:24	green	23:4
generation	G-i-l-l-	29:15	24:16	۷,,,

Meeting	Septe	ember 28, 2009	NRC	File # 10033-14	Page 87
<b>G11129</b> 61	•10	15.17		12.2	27.8

	Meeting Septe	mber 28, 2009 NRC	File # 10033-14	Page 87
54:11	<b>guys</b> 61:10	45 <b>:</b> 17	12:2	27 <b>:</b> 8
groundwate	61 <b>:</b> 18	Harrison	12:5	27 <b>:</b> 8
<b>r</b> 23:4		5 <b>:</b> 17	heard	37 <b>:</b> 20
	Н	harvesting	20:14	41:18
<b>group</b> 15:5	habit	14:15	30:10	45 <b>:</b> 11
21:18	43:23		41:19	47:9
25:6 50:15	49:22	hat	hearing	47:11
53:21	half	40:20	5:1	58:10
54:1	22 <b>:</b> 7	41:8	5:6	62:10
57:20	32 <b>:</b> 16	hatchery	6:2 6:18	helped
	59 <b>:</b> 24	65 <b>:</b> 21	69:3	49:4
groups	Hamilton	<b>hate</b> 55:5	69 <b>:</b> 7	<b>he's</b> 49:25
41:10	50:4		69 <b>:</b> 16	50 <b>:</b> 1
64:22 64:22	50:5	<b>hats</b> 39:12	69 <b>:</b> 18	63 <b>:</b> 9
			hearings	Hewitt
Grove	H-a-m-i-	haven't	6:1 6:13	28:5
32:17	1-t-o-	36:10	6:15	28:6
grow 26:11	<b>n</b> 50:6	37:8	11 <b>:</b> 15	28 <b>:</b> 6
growing	HAMILTON	51:22	<b>heart</b> 45:3	35 <b>:</b> 18
44:19	50 <b>:</b> 5	63:10	45:3	<b>Hi</b> 46:7
	<b>hand</b> 10:2	63 <b>:</b> 20		48:15
growth 26:2	handed	having	heat 43:21	57:17
34:7	52 <b>:</b> 24	13:5	heating	
		26:20	31:23	hidden
guarantee	Hanford	40:11	43:21	31:16
42:23	25 <b>:</b> 12	45:8	heats	31:16
guess	happen	65:10	22:22	<b>high</b> 28:21
16:14	27 <b>:</b> 23	haze 45:16	heavily	28 <b>:</b> 21
63:25	66:10	headquarte	20:2	53 <b>:</b> 9
guidance	happened	<b>rs</b> 24:9		53:15
62:9	32:11	health	heavy	53:16
guide	59 <b>:</b> 23	21:25	15:24	66:10
8:3 65:7	happens	25 <b>:</b> 20	held 6:2	higher
guides	32:16	44:7	56:5	28:1
66:1	hard	<b>45:</b> 2	<b>help</b> 11:10	44:24
	14:3	53 <b>:</b> 5	11:10	highest
guiding	18:5	hear	11:22	17 <b>:</b> 5
66:6	34:8	5 <b>:</b> 23	18:16	17 <b>:</b> 8
<b>guy</b> 58:11	36 <b>:</b> 15	11:17	22 <b>:</b> 11	40:2
			26 <b>:</b> 15	

	Meeting Septe	mber 28, 2009 NRC	File # 10033-14	Page 88
44:16	32 <b>:</b> 5	36:6	26:23	52 <b>:</b> 5
Hirotsu	43:21	42:14	32:3	immediatel
5 <b>:</b> 16	household	43:1	34:2	<b>y</b> 68:2
history	52 <b>:</b> 18	ideal	34:4	- 68:8
19:20	houses	55 <b>:</b> 17	36:20	immense
28:20	32:23	<b>ideas</b> 12:5	36:22	47:8
29:7	<b>Huge</b> 23:3	identified	36:23 37:7	
hit	_	28:12	38:20	<pre>impacts 13:9</pre>
52:16	human	29:5	39:12	14:16
53:3	25:22	29:15	39:13	48:20
	26:4		40:19	51:11
hold	48:19	identify	40:20	51:12
6:14	hundreds	9:18	43 <b>:</b> 12	56:20
33:19	47 <b>:</b> 5	15 <b>:</b> 5	43:14	57 <b>:</b> 13
home 21:14	56 <b>:</b> 7	ignored	44:6	
40:18	<b>hydro</b> 33:7	33:1	<b>45:</b> 3	imperative
43:23	hydroelect	33:12	45 <b>:</b> 20	61:10
48:20	ric 32:8	I'll 13:11	45:24	implement
homes		40:20	46:7	66:20
43:17	hydropower	46:14	46:8	implementa
48:24	65:13	i-l-l-a-	48:15	<b>tion</b> 8:5
honest	66:4	<b>n</b> 57 <b>:</b> 19	48:25	implemente
24:23	hyphen		50:9	<b>d</b> 68:3
49:6	57 <b>:</b> 18	illustrate	50:14	
hope		10:5	52 <b>:</b> 7	implementi
12:4	I	I'm 5:9	56:4	<b>ng</b> 19:21
50:24	<b>Ich</b> 58:13	8 <b>:</b> 7	57:19	importance
58:18	I'd	11:23	57 <b>:</b> 24	54:16
61:18	26 <b>:</b> 22	11:24	57 <b>:</b> 25	important
	33 <b>:</b> 15	12:7	58:11 58:14	13:16
hopefully	34 <b>:</b> 17	12:20	58:18	13:24
7:21 42:11	35 <b>:</b> 10	16:14	58:23	38:11
	49:3	16:19	59:10	53 <b>:</b> 22
host 48:25	49:22	16:20 16:22	63:11	54 <b>:</b> 7
hour 15:12	55 <b>:</b> 25	21:11	65 <b>:</b> 6	importantl
45:21	59 <b>:</b> 5	21:17	68 <b>:</b> 12	<b>y</b> 6:23
house	59 <b>:</b> 17	21:18	68 <b>:</b> 22	_
31:21	67 <b>:</b> 1	26:19	69 <b>:</b> 3	importatio
31:23	idea	26:19	immediate	<b>n</b> 48:7
		-		imported

Meeting	September 28, 2009	NRC File # 10033-14	Page 8
---------	--------------------	---------------------	--------

	Meeting Septe	mber 28, 2009 NRC	File # 10033-14	Page 89
16:12	14:9	55 <b>:</b> 2	24:15	53:23
improve	incorporat	inefficien	intents	involve
9:11	<b>es</b> 15:23	<b>t</b> 23:7	5 <b>:</b> 15	10:13
11:1	increase	45 <b>:</b> 6	Interactiv	involved
improved	22:19	influence	<b>e</b> 39 <b>:</b> 15	33:13
35 <b>:</b> 4	45 <b>:</b> 4	46:12	Interconti	41:9
improvemen	51:14	informatio	nental	42:24
<b>t</b> 49:11	56 <b>:</b> 23	<b>n</b> 7:1	51:5	IPCC
improvemen	increased	10:8	interest	51 <b>:</b> 5
ts 50:18	22:14	10:8	5:21	51:11
54:24	49:17	62 <b>:</b> 10	12:3	irrelevant
	53 <b>:</b> 5	inherent		65 <b>:</b> 23
<pre>improving 11:9</pre>	61:7	54 <b>:</b> 18	<pre>interested 26:20</pre>	65 <b>:</b> 25
	increases	inherit	26:24	isn't
inadverten	34:14	48:10		37 <b>:</b> 19
<b>tly</b> 64:7	65 <b>:</b> 16	Initiative	interests	45:19
68 <b>:</b> 24	increasing	52:8	66:12 66:13	47 <b>:</b> 23
incentives	37 <b>:</b> 18		66:14	issue
41:16	incredible	injected		14:10
59:15	49:20	23:4	interim	33:8
include	indicate	input	38:7 55:10	65 <b>:</b> 1
16:9	51:2	36:15		65 <b>:</b> 18
18:10		integrate	intermitte	issues
24:17	indicates	9:11	<b>nt</b> 22:10	23:24
27:20	51:6	10:24	31:2	24:5
34:20 54:23	individual	11:2	54:12	24:17
	62:16	11:4	introduce	30:15
included	individual	11:10	15:4	32:21
16:1	<b>ly</b> 62:18	54 <b>:</b> 6	inverted	40:24
27:16 34:12	individual	54:12 55:11	40:16	41:12
	s		41:1	42:24
includes	26:25	integratio	invest	42:25 50:12
13:8	62 <b>:</b> 15	<b>n</b>	41:22	53:21
including	industrial	28:15	61:15	56:8
7 <b>:</b> 2	63 <b>:</b> 2	30:22 53:21	investment	62:18
34:21	industry	55:16	18:21	items
49:14	23:9		28 <b>:</b> 22	40:10
income	26:22	integrity	29:12	40.10
	20.22			

	Meeting Septe	ember 28, 2009 NRC	File # 10033-14	Page 90
it's 5:5	60:14	<b>join</b> 56:2	Kitzhaber'	44:9
8:25	62:1	judging	<b>s</b> 50:7	48:16
9:16	62 <b>:</b> 7	12:17	known	61:21
10:3	62:8		31:17	61:25
10:13	64:23	jump 32:20		62 <b>:</b> 21
11:17	65:14	jumping	Koehn	63 <b>:</b> 7
12:13	65:23	13:8	58:10	64:11
13:16	65:23	justice	K-o-e-h-	lastly
13:24	65 <b>:</b> 24	14:10	n	30:20
14:10	66:12	14.10	58 <b>:</b> 10	36 <b>:</b> 5
18:2	66:18	K	58 <b>:</b> 17	61:8
18:5	67 <b>:</b> 22	K-a-m-e-	KOEHN	<b>late</b> 17:12
18:20	68:6		58 <b>:</b> 11	
18:25	I've 39:21	<b>e</b> 26:16		39:5
20:6	43:12	Kameenui	koon 58:13	lately
20:8	48:18	26:15		45:15
20:19	48:22	26:16	L	<b>later</b> 6:25
25:20	49:7	26:16	<b>land</b> 23:11	27 <b>:</b> 7
30:2	49:7	26:19	<b>Lane</b> 44:20	47 <b>:</b> 5
31:23	50:10	<b>kane</b> 58:13		latest 6:8
36:6	51:1	<b>Karl</b> 64:1	large	
36:23		Nari 64:1	18:15	launch
36:24		K-a-r-l	18:23	26:20
37:19	jam 61:14	64:1	49:3	launching
37:25	1	Katherine	51 <b>:</b> 25	26:22
38:17	Joan	55:19	largely	
39:4	5:11	55 <b>:</b> 22	47:16	<b>lay</b> 58:5
43:10	34:1		65 <b>:</b> 23	<b>lead</b> 16:4
43:17	Joann	K-a-t-h-	65 <b>:</b> 25	leader
44:1	33:24	e-r-i-	large-	13:16
45:11	<b>job</b> 56:1	n-e	scale	
45:17	65 10	55:23	50.10	leadership

Kendall

**key** 8:8

9:24

kinds

kick 49:21

50:20

56:20

33:20

65:10

8:21

17:23

**Joe** 58:12

jobs

John

5:17

31:7

31:10

47:14

49:6

52:2

53:2

58:7

58:13

58:17

59:5

52:21

50:19

largest

45:2

15:6

17:1

18:17

28:10

last

22:25

20:21

38:23

39:6

52:8

leading

20:1

24:15

**learn** 44:5

leads

Meetina	September 28, 2009	NRC File # 10033-14	Page 91
- McCing	Ocpteriber 20, 2000	14110 1 11C # 10000-14	i age oi

	Meeting Septe	ember 28, 2009 NRC	File # 10033-14	Page 91
56:7	let's	26:3	lived	32 <b>:</b> 5
learned	5:6 15:1	limited	32:10	49:9
48:19	26:9	30:12	livelihood	longer
least 9:17	26:10	limits	<b>s</b> 48:24	61:12
10:16	26:11	26:2	living	long-
48:3	32:20		35:8	term
50:24	33:14	lines	45:13	25:21
51:9	letting	54:10	66:8	28:3
52 <b>:</b> 2	61 <b>:</b> 17	link 7:5	Liz	30:2
53:13	level	11:16		38:19
55 <b>:</b> 5	28:20	liquid	48:12 48:15	38:20
56:21	28:22	24:21		loops
65 <b>:</b> 12	29 <b>:</b> 25	liquidate	<b>lo</b> 59:23	56:19
67 <b>:</b> 6	29 <b>:</b> 25	23:10	load	
<b>leave</b> 6:20	35:6		30:6	<b>Los</b> 45:13
43:20	35:16	liquified	37 <b>:</b> 1	<b>lose</b> 27:19
50:2	40:17	13:15	55 <b>:</b> 16	46:3
leaving	56 <b>:</b> 15	34:21	loads 11:3	losing
43:23	56:19	list 69:1		48:23
	levels	listen	load's	loss
<b>led</b> 24:20	45:25	67 <b>:</b> 11	17:16	45:9
legal 5:16	48:25	listened	local 8:21	60:21
23:24	50:19	61:12	13:10	60:23
24:1	51:15		14:19	
25:12	51:18	little 5:5	17:23	losses
l-e-r 64:2	51:22	9:21	17 <b>:</b> 25	53:7
	56:16	27:15	21:16	53:15
less 10:13	63:4	30:5	24:4	<b>lot</b> 8:18
14:2 18:19	life 22:2	31:5	35:16	9:10
18:19	light	31:19 35:19	44:3	9:22
32:3	23:24	42:9	49:5	15:23
35:5	29:10	57:11	62:16	16:6
35:11		64:11	location	19:6
41:2	lighting	65:17	55 <b>:</b> 3	19:10
42:8	26:9		logging	22:20
	27:2	live 12:14	14:13	22:23
lesson	lights	26:10	long	33:13
61:12	23:25	32:5	7:10	38:6
lethal	27:1	45:5	19:20	44:9
25:14	likely	58:15	22:13	48:19
	12		~~·	

Meeting	Septe	ember 28, 2009	NRC	File # 10033-14	Page 92
magazin	9	51 • 3		58•16	19.22

	Meeting September 28, 2009 NRC File # 10033-14 Page 92			
64:17	magazine	51 <b>:</b> 3	58:16	19:22
65:15	21 <b>:</b> 14	59 <b>:</b> 15	means 9:24	20:16
67 <b>:</b> 24	mail 69:13	markets	10:14	20:18
lots 26:11		23:13	13:6	29:17
41:3	main 20:22	mass 22:1	35 <b>:</b> 5	59 <b>:</b> 8
<b>love</b> 66:9	maintained		35:11	67 <b>:</b> 4
	18 <b>:</b> 15	material	52:25	Melinda
low 7:24	major 10:4	42:1	55 <b>:</b> 15	5 <b>:</b> 9
7:24	10:4	<b>math</b> 21:19	measures	member
8:14 42:16	majority	matter	52:22	21:17
	42 <b>:</b> 7	42:19	53:11	49:25
<b>lower</b> 9:15	61:6	64:14	60 <b>:</b> 2	50:1
29:10	makers	68 <b>:</b> 2	meet	50 <b>:</b> 2
34:15	47:12	mature	8:11 9:1	57 <b>:</b> 19
41:2		14:15	10:21	members
55:15	manage		11:3	5 <b>:</b> 10
65:5	54:21	may 6:19	16:8	5 <b>:</b> 12
66:23	66:17	7:4 9:14	34:7	21 <b>:</b> 1
lowering	management	11:10	37 <b>:</b> 1	37 <b>:</b> 3
36:8	16:21	13:9 27:3	37 <b>:</b> 6	39:24
lowest	18:16	38:7	47 <b>:</b> 17	63:1
8:10	32 <b>:</b> 25	51 <b>:</b> 2	54:5	69 <b>:</b> 8
14:9	33:12	51:3	62:10	mention
17:18	66:3	51:9	62:19	16:6
45 <b>:</b> 7	manager	55 <b>:</b> 13	62 <b>:</b> 24	30:20
low-	15 <b>:</b> 15	59 <b>:</b> 25	64:20	35 <b>:</b> 20
grade	16:20	62 <b>:</b> 22	meeting	43:14
24:14	39:14	maybe	5:22	56:8
low-income	Manhattan	9:2 25:8	13:23	mentioned
17:20	25 <b>:</b> 17	35:24	17:1	44:8
	manner	37 <b>:</b> 15	67 <b>:</b> 21	49:17
luck 61:9	62:11	38:22	meetings	56 <b>:</b> 14
lung 44:13		65 <b>:</b> 17	41:10	66:15
44:14	<b>Mark</b> 21:6	McKenzie	62 <b>:</b> 3	mercury
44:16	21:6	39:11	megawatts	32:14
			10:19	33:11
M	M-a-r-k	mean 10:15	17 <b>:</b> 15	met
M-a-c-M	21:10	20:18	17:17	36:10
57:18	market	25 <b>:</b> 1 35 <b>:</b> 11	19:9	36:10
	41:15	20:11		20.12

Meeting	September 28, 2009	NRC File # 10033-14	Page 93

	Meeting Septe	ember 28, 2009 NRC	File # 10033-14	Page 93
48:23	12:16	mountains	67 <b>:</b> 9	news 8:9
method	misleading	48:21	name's	60 <b>:</b> 5
40:4	45:10	mountainto	64:1	<b>nice</b> 65:23
methodolog	mispronoun	<b>p</b> 24:22	national	Nick
<b>y</b> 40:7	<b>ce</b> 11:24	<b>move</b> 30:23	9:3 48:1	46:5
microphone	miss 27:21	34:5	natural	46:7
8:13	Mitigating	51 <b>:</b> 10	9:13	56 <b>:</b> 2
68:12	26:2	58:7	9:20	night
midcoast		69:9	10:24	23:25
65:8	mitigation	moved	13 <b>:</b> 15	26:10
midpoint	13:6 33:3	27 <b>:</b> 14	13:15	43:21
36:7	52:22	48:18	22:14	43:24
		movement	22:15	<b>nine</b> 47:1
midstream	models	56:3	22:17	none
19:17	27:20	moves	22:19	69:3
Mike 27:10	27:21	66:24	22:23	69:3
27:13	modificati		22 <b>:</b> 25 23 <b>:</b> 2	
miles	<b>on</b> 30:1	moving	24:21	nonissue
32:17	moment 6:1	29:13 56:11	25:10	42:4
45:21	40:20	58:2	26:9	nonprofit
millennia	money		33 <b>:</b> 18	39:14
25:15	17:19	M-u-e-l	49:17	non-
million	17:21	64:1	55 <b>:</b> 6	supporte
24:6	17 <b>:</b> 24	Mueller	55 <b>:</b> 14	<b>d</b> 42:5
53:8	28:2	63 <b>:</b> 9	Naturally	north
	Montana	63:12	67 <b>:</b> 23	15 <b>:</b> 13
millions	37:23	63:16	nature	Northeast
23:10		63:22	22:10	14:14
mills	<b>Morlan</b> 5:13	63:25 64:1		
20:15	7:11	64:5	nearly	Northern
mine 22:25	7:11	64:8	21:12	54:1
61:13			near-	northwest
Minnesota	motivation	<b>myself</b> 21:11	term	5:8
31:24	14:25 31:20	46:25	9:17	6 <b>:</b> 3
minority	31:20	51:23	necessary	6:4 6:5 6:16
42:6			58 <b>:</b> 19	8:2 10:1
	mountain	N	Network	13:13
minutes	21:22	nameplate	57 <b>:</b> 20	16:11
12:15				

	Meeting Septe	mber 28, 2009 NRC	File # 10033-14	Page 94
23:16	26 <b>:</b> 17	64:5	46:18	49:14
23:19	NWPCC	oil	58:4	49:18
33:2	46:11	22:17	63:19	50 <b>:</b> 6
34:9	46:14	45 <b>:</b> 22	68:18	52 <b>:</b> 8
46:21	47 <b>:</b> 11		oppose	52 <b>:</b> 11
47:2		okay 12:18	13:13	52 <b>:</b> 14
48:6	0	37 <b>:</b> 25	14:5	55 <b>:</b> 24
49:14	Obama 60:5	50:3		56 <b>:</b> 6
49:15	67:11	64:5	opposed	57 <b>:</b> 21
49:19		65 <b>:</b> 19	11:12	57 <b>:</b> 24
54:3	objectives	old	option	61 <b>:</b> 5
57 <b>:</b> 8	28:19	46:10	17:19	organizati
58:6	objectivit	50 <b>:</b> 8	options	<b>on</b> 39:23
60:24	<b>y</b> 40:3	ones 9:3	34:22	50 <b>:</b> 17
61:1	obstructed	one's	40:23	52 <b>:</b> 7
<b>note</b> 40:14	33:12	16:18	order	organizati
notes			11:21	ons 19:3
31:10	obtain	online	28:12	
54:23	42:10	67 <b>:</b> 5	28:14	54 <b>:</b> 2
	obvious	onto 62:4	29:24	others
nothing	18:7	<b>open</b> 43:20	30:8	19:21
30:2	obviously	43:24	37:16	34:22
37:10	42:21		41:14	53 <b>:</b> 13
42:18	64:14	operates	41:22	63 <b>:</b> 13
68:5		11:9	48:9	otherwise
notice	occur	operating	54:25	20:24
32:24	42:13	9 <b>:</b> 7	56:16	ours 62:23
November	ocean	54 <b>:</b> 17		
6:14	30:13	opinion	ordered	outcry
56:6	44:22	39 <b>:</b> 22	60:6	30:12
69:10	<b>odor</b> 44:12	39:25	Oregon 5:2	output 9:6
Now's 69:2		40:18	5:9 5:11	58 <b>:</b> 3
NOW'S 69:2	offers	41:25	12:15	outside
nuclear	17:18	61:3	13:22	6:19
25:11	off-grid		20:25	43:21
25:18	33 <b>:</b> 22	opportunit	21:15	
25 <b>:</b> 19	33 <b>:</b> 23	ies 9:18	32:8	overall
34:21	offline	35 <b>:</b> 18	37 <b>:</b> 22	9:8
49:8	31 <b>:</b> 1	opportunit	46:8	15:21
49:18	<b>Oh</b> 32:20	<b>y</b> 36:14	47 <b>:</b> 7	19:18
n-u-i	JI 32.20		49:4	28:8

	Meeting Septe	ember 28, 2009 NRC	File # 10033-14	Page 95
29:8	paper	41:22	63:20	32 <b>:</b> 22
41:23	20:15	61 <b>:</b> 6	65 <b>:</b> 15	33:18
45:10	60:9	66 <b>:</b> 8	66 <b>:</b> 2	period
58:3	parking	peak	66:6	29:17
62 <b>:</b> 7	26:11	11:3	66:12	
overconsum		22:15	66:13	person
ption	participat	22:16	68 <b>:</b> 17	31:25
22:4	ion 62:3	23:18	People's	32:9
	particular	25 <b>:</b> 7	28:7	56:13
overrelian	16:4	25 <b>:</b> 23		61:21
<b>ce</b> 16:4	16:25	peaked	<b>per</b> 38:14	63 <b>:</b> 7
overshoot	22:13	23:19	38:22	persons
26:3	33:1		39:3	64:18
overview	particular	peaking	52:18	perspectiv
7:9 7:10	<b>ly</b> 53:18	8 <b>:</b> 20		e
7:12	54:9	22 <b>:</b> 18	percent	28 <b>:</b> 23
7:16	66:12	penalize	8:11	29 <b>:</b> 14
7:20		20:7	10:1	
11:14	partly	noonlo	10:3	perspectiv
	50:13	people	18:12	<b>es</b> 21:13
owned	passage	11:20	29:8	pesticides
23:10	33:8	13:21	40:17	14:8
ozone 53:6	passing	16:19 17:20	41:5	phase 38:5
	23:18		44:20	_
Р		18:18 18:20	44:25	phase-
<b>p.m</b> 5:3	past	24:2	51:17	out 57:4
69:19	13:4	25 <b>:</b> 18	51:17	57:10
pack 41:21	17:7	38:6	51:20	phases
_	18:9	41:2	52:15	48:6
<b>page</b> 7:5	18:11	43:18	52:20	phasing
35:2	20:4	43:20	52:20	56:12
35:14	20:22	44:19	56:16	58:6
pages 7:10	29:18	45:5	60:12	
<pre>paid 23:15</pre>	31:18	46:24	percentage	Philipson
29:1	53:17	47:5	45 <b>:</b> 2	55:20
	patterns	47:9	percentage	55:20
Pam 28:5	56:23	47 <b>:</b> 10	s 44:16	55 <b>:</b> 23
28:6	<b>pave</b> 24:6	48:23		P-h-i-l-
35:18	_	56:10	perhaps	i-p-s-
35:18	<b>pay</b> 41:18	56:21	27:7	o-n
<b>Panel</b> 51:6		61:9	30:7	55 <b>:</b> 23
Ī	I .		1	

	Meeting Se	eptember 28, 2009 NRC	File # 10033-14	Page 96
PHILIPSON	25:4	54:16	36:2	pleased
55 <b>:</b> 22	27 <b>:</b> 17	54:23	plan's	15 <b>:</b> 25
Philomath	28:11	55:18	49:1	43:14
15:12	29:6	58:4		<b>PNGC</b> 15:13
	29:15	62:8	plant	
pittance	30:6	62:12	13:19	point
57:12	30:20	62:14	27:18	10:25
placed	30:24	62:18	46:1	10:25
6:21	31:13	64:19	55:3	13:5
17:7	31:15	65:3	plants	36:9
<b>plan</b> 5:1	32:1	66:24	9:25	38:10
5:7 5:22	34 <b>:</b> 4	67:24	27 <b>:</b> 6	38:11
6:4	34:9	68:3	34:15	38:17
6:7	34:17	68:15	38:2	59:4
6:7	34:19	69:2	38:5	59:5
6:9 6:10	35 <b>:</b> 1	69:9	38:8	62:21
6:14	35 <b>:</b> 3	planet	45:5	65:20
6:15	35 <b>:</b> 9	26:2	45 <b>:</b> 6	67:1
6:23	35:10		46:2	pointed
6:25 7:2	35 <b>:</b> 14	planner	46:4	35:18
7:3	35:20	57:23	47:16	35:19
7:6	35:21	planners	48:6	60:11
7:9 7:11	36:7	55 <b>:</b> 2	48:7	poison
7:23 8:3	36:10	planning	49:3	14:8
8:9 8:11	36:16	5:14	49:8	21 <b>:</b> 22
8:24	36:23	5:15	49:8	
9:10	37 <b>:</b> 9	21:2	51 <b>:</b> 24	poisoned
9:23	37:10	24:9	59:14	48:22
10:7	37:20	33:2	67:15	policies
10:12	38:12	53:24	68:4	8:16
10:17	39:1	54:4	<b>play</b> 38:16	policy
11:1	46:12	56:4	please	39 <b>:</b> 15
12:23	47:13	56:11	6:18 7:3	39 <b>:</b> 18
12:24	47:15	57 <b>:</b> 3	7:17	39:18
15:21	48:5	<b>plans</b> 17:7	11:22	46:12
16:24	49:4	17:13	12:11	46:14
17:4	50:10	25:23	15:5	<b>Polls</b> 61:4
19:8	50:23	26:7	15:9	
19:12	53:11	32:25	26:15	pollutes
19:17	53:14	33:12	39:5	14:9
20:10	53 <b>:</b> 22			pollution

	Meeting Septe	ember 28, 2009 NRC	File # 10033-14	Page 97
14:17	20:6	13:21	40:1	28:11
44:13	post 69:14	15 <b>:</b> 12	practices	prioritize
44:18	-	15 <b>:</b> 13	14:7	33:18
45:1	post-	15:14	14:13	
45:4	2011	15:14	54:18	priority
45:25	19:24	16:8		17:5
<b>poor</b> 45:15	20:1	16:24	preferred	17:8
-	20:10	17 <b>:</b> 13	68:13	proactivel
popular 16:7	potential	17 <b>:</b> 22	prepared	<b>y</b> 54:3
	8:16	18:3	43:13	probably
population	11:8	21:14	present	10:14
44:19	19:12	24:16	43:13	18:3
44:20	28:3	25:11	preservati	18:14
44:25	52:10	33:2	on 53:16	27 <b>:</b> 14
45:1	potentiall	34:12		51 <b>:</b> 8
45:20	<b>y</b> 8:11	38:2	pretty	problem
population	_	47:7	10:4	13:21
<b>s</b> 53:4	<pre>power 5:1</pre>	49:18	17:17	13:22
Portfolio	5:7	53:18	18:14	32:6
9:1	5:8 5:10	56 <b>:</b> 5	20:13	32:24
10:21	5:14	56 <b>:</b> 9	52:13	54:25
	5:15	57 <b>:</b> 23	prevalent	55:2
Portland	5:22 6:3	58:21 59:1	31:23	60:10
15:14	6:4	59:1 59:8	price 8:17	
52:9	6:5	59:16	9:15	problems
52:20	6:6	59:24	27:20	16:5
position	6:8 6:10	60:2	31:19	32:19
19:8	6:13	60:12	38:10	44:16
39:6	6 <b>:</b> 22	60:13	38:12	45:3
positions	6:25 7:2	62:10	38:13	48:25
42:6	7:6	65 <b>:</b> 4	39:4	procedures
42:7	7:9 7:11	66:18	39:6	54 <b>:</b> 17
possible	7:23	66:22	57 <b>:</b> 5	process
31:24	7:25 8:1	67 <b>:</b> 3	prices 9:4	32 <b>:</b> 7
34:20	8:2	67 <b>:</b> 20	_	56 <b>:</b> 11
40:3	9:7	69 <b>:</b> 2	pricing	67 <b>:</b> 19
48:8	10:12	powered	8:16	produce
49:16	10:13	46:16	primary	10:2
58:7	11:2		18:6	27:3
	11 • 9	practice		2,,•0

practice

possibly

11:9

11:11

priorities

32:4

	Meeting Septe	ember 28, 2009 NRC	File # 10033-14	Page 98
production	58 <b>:</b> 16	5:25 6:2	40:23	27 <b>:</b> 14
22:16	pronunciat	6:11	pursuit	38:4
23:18	ion	6 <b>:</b> 13	29:9	48:8
23:19	11:23	6 <b>:</b> 15		68:23
program	15:10	12:21	pursuits	<b>quite</b> 9:22
8:6	21:8	21:24	66:7	17 <b>:</b> 2
19:25		24:6	pushed	36 <b>:</b> 25
24:16	proposed	30 <b>:</b> 7	31:14	37 <b>:</b> 14
44:3	6:4	30:12	31:15	44:6
47 <b>:</b> 2	7:1 13:1	36 <b>:</b> 15	pushes	64:23
	32:8	39 <b>:</b> 22	14:18	
programs	49:3	39 <b>:</b> 25		quote
17:11	53 <b>:</b> 11	40:13	pushing	59:12
17:12	protect	41:10	40:17	60:8
17:20	29:12	41:11	<b>puts</b> 14:18	61:2
17:25	48:9	41:17		61:4
19:4	58 <b>:</b> 19	41:24	Q	
19:5	60:19	42:4	quality	R
55 <b>:</b> 4	protecting	50:6	32:15	raccoon
progress	29:4	55 <b>:</b> 7	44:17	65 <b>:</b> 6
36:6		60:1	44:17	race 26:4
project	provide	60:17	45:14	
25 <b>:</b> 17	7:25 8:3	60 <b>:</b> 25		Radiation
32:8	9 <b>:</b> 25	61 <b>:</b> 3	quantify	25:14
33:1	10:7	61 <b>:</b> 6	30:18	rainfall
39:14	10:23	61 <b>:</b> 17	question	56 <b>:</b> 23
39:15	11:3	69 <b>:</b> 2	24:15	raise
39:16	21:3	public's	39:22	41:18
59:20	23 <b>:</b> 12	65 <b>:</b> 18	40:5	
	35 <b>:</b> 6		40:21	ramps 55:1
projects	66 <b>:</b> 17	published	40:22	range 16:1
22:25	provided	21:15	40:23	ranges
promises	6 <b>:</b> 19	purpose	41:4	21:22
23:8	62 <b>:</b> 14	5 <b>:</b> 22	questions	
promoters	provides	6 <b>:</b> 19	40:7	ranging
_	_	39 <b>:</b> 15	40:11	38:14
23:15	62 <b>:</b> 9	purposes		<b>rapid</b> 55:1
pronounce	providing	5:16	quickly	55 <b>:</b> 5
12:7	23:1	65 <b>:</b> 22	8:7	rarely
58:13	<pre>public 5:1</pre>		10:15	54:19
pronounced	5:6 5:18	pursued	26:23	
•			27 <b>:</b> 8	rate

	Meeting Septe	ember 28, 2009 NRC	File # 10033-14	Page 99
30:9	18 <b>:</b> 15	48:18	34:16	33:3
40:16	24:5	67:11	65 <b>:</b> 23	<b>refer</b> 52:6
41:1	35:8	recognize	reduce	
rates	35:20	31:12	10:6	refit 33:7
34:14	36:24	37 <b>:</b> 6	10:11	reflect
41:2	37:8	55:1	23:23	68:22
42:15	37:10	55:3	24:24	reflecting
42:16	37:19	recognized	25 <b>:</b> 1	50 <b>:</b> 14
57 <b>:</b> 12	38:3	55 <b>:</b> 6	25 <b>:</b> 2	reflects
59:22	38:4		47 <b>:</b> 21	53:14
61:7	38:9	recognizes	50:21	
rather	38:11	54:16	51:11	regard
26:13	38:25	56:18	51:16	64:20
38:3	39:6	recognizin	52 <b>:</b> 5	67 <b>:</b> 25
	41:23 41:24	<b>g</b> 34:6	56:14	regarding
rating	43:12	53:22	59:16	30:13
28:1	47:22	recommend	Reduced	regards
reach 16:3	48:4	7:24	52 <b>:</b> 23	30 <b>:</b> 21
reached	49:1	52:12	53 <b>:</b> 4	regenerate
26:1	53:9	recommenda	reduces	23:12
reading	53:12	tion	17:22	
13:17	54 <b>:</b> 6	33:2		region
	57 <b>:</b> 1		reducing	9:24
real 14:22	58 <b>:</b> 25	recommende	18:4	10:1
23:13	66:18	<b>d</b> 68:11	58 <b>:</b> 2	10:7
25:20	realm	recommends	reduction	16:3
38:23 52:21	28:10	8:24	24:23	16:13
61:16		reconcile	31:14	18:24 29:24
	reason	20:9	34:18	30:15
realizes	22:4		35:9	34:8
60:17	reasonable	record	37:11	38:15
reallocate	26:6	6:22	37:22	49:21
59:7	62:11	12:12	51:21	53:15
really 8:9	62 <b>:</b> 12	15:7 25:6	reductions	56:4
13:24	reassess	64:9	12 <b>:</b> 25	56 <b>:</b> 12
14:1	19:17	68:22	49:13	57 <b>:</b> 4
14:3	recent		reemphasiz	57 <b>:</b> 10
14:18	65:16	recorded	<b>e</b> 51:25	62 <b>:</b> 10
14:22		6:21	reestablis	64 <b>:</b> 15
17:20	recently	recovery	hing	regional
			птпд	regronar

	Meeting September 28, 2009 NRC File # 10033-14			Page 100
6 <b>:</b> 6	32:14	34:15	59:11	50:14
10:12	reliable	removed	61:15	reps 49:4
19:2	8:1	34:22	repercussi	require
28:19	reliably	34:25	ons	<b>-</b>
29:1	67:5	renewable	60 <b>:</b> 22	40:25 62:17
34:15		8:23 9:1	repetition	
47:12	reliance	10:20	64:10	required
48:1	15:24	10:21	64:10	9:1
53:23	16:12	14:1		10:21
54:2	relies	14:1	repetitive	20:10
regionally	14:6	16:10	12:4	29:21
25:25	relocate	21:16	replace	30:8
region's	24:9	26:5	10:14	requiremen
8:12		28:9	51:2	<b>ts</b> 9:9
	rely 20:2	30:23	replacemen	54 <b>:</b> 5
region-	remain	35:17	t 31:2	research
wide	66:24	45:11		11:6
62:15	remaining	46:16	replacing	39:14
regulatory	20:12	47:4	65 <b>:</b> 4	39:25
51:4		47:10	66:22	40:2
reimbursem	remains	48:2	report	40:18
ent 32:2	23:20	50:16	14:17	41:7
32:3	remarkable	57 <b>:</b> 3	44:15	41:25
	36:23	68:11	54:15	reside
reiterate	36:25	renewables	reporter	
50:22	remarks	9:4 11:5	11:25	55:24
related	36 <b>:</b> 24	16:5		residents
11:7	remember	17:9	reports	14:8
53:5	15:15	27:24	34:11	resolve
65:10	44:11	27:25	54:14	28:2
relatively	44:12	28:13	represent	resource
17:15		28:15	15 <b>:</b> 13	8:10
28:21	reminded	29:9	representa	8:15 9:9
59:5	15:3	30:6	tive	16:4
relayed	removal	30:10	5 <b>:</b> 16	17:5
38:18	24:22	31:2	representi	17:7
	58 <b>:</b> 24	31:15	ng 12:21	17:8
release	66:22	37:1	15:6	18:6
40:6	67:17	44:8	16:22	20:12
released	remove	50:20	21:11	20:13
6:10			∠ ⊥ • ⊥ ⊥	



<ul> <li>Meeting</li> </ul>	September 28, 2009	NRC File # 10033-14	Page 10 <sup>-</sup>
-----------------------------	--------------------	---------------------	----------------------

	Meeting Septen	nber 28, 2009 NRC F	ile # 10033-14	Page 101
23:22	respond	<b>e</b> 60:23	river	rules
23:23	54 <b>:</b> 25	reverse	32:10	33:11
24:18	response	46:1	34:16	<b>run</b> 33:4
24:20	55:4		58 <b>:</b> 24	40:18
29:2	55:6	review 6:7	59 <b>:</b> 6	67:5
29:7		6:9 6:11	60:10	
29:10	responsibi	6:23	65 <b>:</b> 5	runaway
29:22	lities	36:6	66:23	51 <b>:</b> 15
30:13	67:21	58 <b>:</b> 24	67 <b>:</b> 17	runs
41:12	responsibl	65 <b>:</b> 3	rivers	5 <b>:</b> 15
62:15	<b>e</b> 56:21	66:21	60:9	22 <b>:</b> 7
62:19		revised	65:12	<b>rural</b> 14:8
66:17	rest	6 <b>:</b> 10		62:23
resources	15:1	revitalize	<b>road</b> 46:16	
8:25	22:20	67:18	Robinowitz	Ruth
9:19	41:20		21:6	43:5
10:16	restore	rhetoric	21:10	43:10
10:20	67:16	22:13	R-o-b-i-	
16:6	restrictiv	<b>rich</b> 66:6	n-o-w-	S
16:10	<b>e</b> 51:4	<b>rid</b> 47:25	i-t-z	<b>sad</b> 66:12
16:13				safeguard
16:15	result	rigorous	21:11	25 <b>:</b> 19
24:24	13:22	26:21	ROBINOWITZ	
30:13	37:11	rising	21:7	salmon
30:13	56:20	48 <b>:</b> 25	21:10	33:3
30:23	results	risk	Roger 50:4	33:13
31:1	34:10		50:5	53:4
31:4	34:13	7:24		53:15
31:4	53:8	8:15	role	58:15
32:12	retire	8:15	19:1	58:18
34:20	34:14	8:17 8:19 9:4	20:22	58 <b>:</b> 25
35:16		8:19 9:4 9:15	38:23	59:2
35:23	returned	9:15 9:22	53:22	60:19
50:13	45:18		<b>Roman</b> 15:3	60:21
54:5	revenue	9:23 27:21	15 <b>:</b> 11	60:25
67:4	29 <b>:</b> 8	27:21 27:21	room 49:11	61:7
67:18	41:18	27:21 28:1		61:11
68:12	revenues	28:1 28:3	roomers	61:13
	18:13	28:3 30:17	43:22	61:18
respect			root 47:22	64:25
39:20	reverberat	risks 9:5	<b>RPS</b> 54:5	65:11
			2 3 1 • 0	65 <b>:</b> 13

## ${\bf Naegeli Reporting.com}$

	Meeting Septen	nber 28, 2009 NRC F	File # 10033-14	Page 102
67:7	57 <b>:</b> 3	<b>seen</b> 19:25	10:6	<b>shirt</b> 5:17
67 <b>:</b> 16	scenarios	31:16	31:12	<b>short</b> 30:1
67 <b>:</b> 21	38:14	45 <b>:</b> 16	33:22	
68:16		48:19	41:11	shortage
68 <b>:</b> 21	scheduling	49:7	54:13	59:19
salt 44:23	54:25	51:1	shale 23:1	shorter
	schools	57 <b>:</b> 13	24:21	30:3
salve	44:4	66 <b>:</b> 2		shortly
65:19	science	<b>sells</b> 32:4	shame	7:22
Samantha	13:3		66:18	22:16
12:8	39:17	senate	share	
sand 22:24	56:18	57 <b>:</b> 25	21:13	shortsight
		senator	28:16	edness
Sandra	scientific	57 <b>:</b> 24	29:1	22:1
5:16	26:21	<b>send</b> 69:12	67 <b>:</b> 24	short-term
36:18	scientific	sena 69:12	sheet 6:18	10:16
36:20	ally	separately	63:15	23:1
53:12	42:6	62 <b>:</b> 18	63:16	
sands		September		showed
24:21	scientists	5:3 6:10	64:4	49:15
Condi	51:11		sheets	shown 61:5
Saudi	<b>sea</b> 48:25	series	12:2	shows
23:16	<b>seas</b> 66:10	5 <b>:</b> 25	shift	21:25
23:17		seriously	46:25	40:14
<b>save</b> 61:7	second	24:5	47 <b>:</b> 3	59:13
saved	8:23	serve 63:2	47 <b>:</b> 8	
17:21	sectors		56:5	<b>shut</b> 13:19
32:2	42:3	served	56:9	59:14
	secure	36:21	57 <b>:</b> 23	67:14
saves	66:18	service		Sierra
17:19		17 <b>:</b> 16	shifting	22:13
saving	seeing	18 <b>:</b> 24	46:18	46:9
60:25	64:12	services	Shiner	sign
savings	69:3	16:21	27:11	6:18
27:4	<b>seem</b> 51:2	35:6	27:13	63:7
	seemed		S-h-i-n-	
<b>saw</b> 42:15	65:17	<b>sets</b> 40:5	e-r	signed
scale	00.1/	setting	27:13	7:13
24:12	seems	28:24		7 <b>:</b> 15
35:16	41:21	56:19	SHINER	12 <b>:</b> 17
50:20	56:24	several	27:12	61:21
	57:12	several		63:9

	Meeting Septer	nber 28, 2009 NRC F	ile # 10033-14	Page 103
63:17	<b>sky</b> 24:1	67:17	27 <b>:</b> 6	39:20
significan	skyscraper	society	<b>sorry</b> 12:7	50:9
t	s	26:13	38:20	specifying
10:18	26:10	35:8	63:11	29:6
17:17	27:1	solar	63 <b>:</b> 23	spell
18:10	<b>sleep</b> 7:21	21:12	<b>sort</b> 20:20	11:22
18:20	slide	22:9	sorts	12:10
20:12	42:16	33:21	55 <b>:</b> 12	15 <b>:</b> 6
27:15		53:24		spelled
37:2	slightly	68 <b>:</b> 13	source	48:16
significan	18:19	<b>sole</b> 32:18	8:24 32:18	58 <b>:</b> 16
tly	18:19	solution	45:11	spelling
10:12	small	11:11		12:1
sign-ups	17:15	66:20	sources	15:16
11:21	34:14		13:8	
simple	35:15	solutions	13:9	spend 28:1
22:2	62:23	28:2	68:13	37 <b>:</b> 7
	small-	56:8 57:22	south 65:7	<b>spent</b> 9:22
simply	scale		southern	24:6
37:18	35:23	solution's	45 <b>:</b> 14	41:9
39:4 52:25	Smart 11:8	66:19	45:18	spoke
54:20	Smith	solve	45 <b>:</b> 20	48:17
55:13	58:12	24:19	Southwest	56:13
		somebody	49 <b>:</b> 7	sprechend
situation	smoke	59 <b>:</b> 16	spanned	58 <b>:</b> 14
45:12	44:10	somebody's	17:13	spring
<b>six</b> 6:16	<b>smug</b> 20:19	55:14		60:15
six-tenths	Snake		speakers	
57:11	34 <b>:</b> 15	someday	64:11	stability
sixth	58:24	9:3	speaking	21:25
5:1	59:6	somehow	34:3	stabilizat
5:7 5:22	60:7	60 <b>:</b> 18	56 <b>:</b> 3	ion
6:4	60:10	someone	57 <b>:</b> 25	34:19
7:1 19:7	65 <b>:</b> 5	7:14	60 <b>:</b> 4	stabilize
53:22	65:9	20:14	species	34:23
68:15	65:16	48:17	25 <b>:</b> 22	37 <b>:</b> 17
skipped	66 <b>:</b> 2	somewhere	65 <b>:</b> 22	68 <b>:</b> 5
64:6	66:23	25:8	specifical	stable
68:24	67:17		ly 34:13	25:3
00.29		sooner	-1 01.10	20.0



	Meeting Septen	nber 28, 2009 NRC F	File # 10033-14	Page 104
26:12	57:16	stave	55 <b>:</b> 11	39:21
stack	57:17	46:19	strategies	40:13
20:13	57:18	47 <b>:</b> 21	38:8	64:14
staff 5:12	<b>start</b> 44:1	<b>stay</b> 33:15	streams	<pre>submit 7:4</pre>
17:3	46:21	steel	21:23	25:6
18:16	46:22	54:10		27 <b>:</b> 24
18:18	55:18		Street	28:2
18:22	58:22	Steele	55:24	48:5
19:4	67 <b>:</b> 15	31:8	stress	61 <b>:</b> 1
19:14	67:22	31:10	16:14	69:11
37 <b>:</b> 2	68:15	S-t-e-e-	23:23	submitting
37 <b>:</b> 13	started	1-e	strip	21:2
37:16	5:6	31:10	22 <b>:</b> 25	subordinat
38:13	61:15	STEELE	strong	<b>e</b> 66:4
49:15	starting	31:9	28:20	66:14
50:24	59:10	steelhead	37:21	
51 <b>:</b> 18			49:1	subscribe
54 <b>:</b> 14	state	65:11		21:14
54:14	9:1 32:7	68 <b>:</b> 17	structure	39:25
54 <b>:</b> 15	49:21	steering	41:22	substantia
67 <b>:</b> 12	51:20	56:9	structures	<b>1</b> 38:1
staffing	55:17	<b>step</b> 24:14	40:16	49:13
28:22	61:2	26:23	41:1	substitute
	stated	38:3	student	14:21
stance	68:7	steps 13:7	57:24	
30 <b>:</b> 24	statement	34:5		substitute
stand	5 <b>:</b> 24	47:20	students	<b>s</b> 22:2
61 <b>:</b> 18		58:19	56:7	substituti
standards	states 6:16	66:19	57 <b>:</b> 20	<b>on</b> 13:14
9 <b>:</b> 2	14:2		studies	sudden
10:22	35:15	<b>Steve</b> 5:19	51:1	59 <b>:</b> 19
40:1	37:20	sticking	59:13	
standpoint	48:8	21:1	stuff	sufficient
65 <b>:</b> 25		<b>stop</b> 26:9	47:14	37:19
	state's	_	55:9	suggesting
S-t-a-r-	53:11	stopgap	55 <b>:</b> 9	53 <b>:</b> 9
<b>k</b> 57 <b>:</b> 18	States	33 <b>:</b> 19	55 <b>:</b> 12	suggests
Stark-	47:6	stopping	stunning	42:13
MacMilla	statewide	27 <b>:</b> 6	52 <b>:</b> 13	
n	52:16	storage		summarize
			subject	

	Meeting Septer	nber 28, 2009 NRC	File # 10033-14	Page 105
36:24	48:3	67 <b>:</b> 2	41:14	16:5
summarized	surpluses	talk	42:10	40:4
61:4	60:14	30:5	42:11	40:24
summary	survey	39:13	57 <b>:</b> 2	53:14
35:14	40:5	41:8	58:5	territory
	61:3	58:14	62:22	17:16
supples		68:21	<b>tax</b> 38:16	18:24
23:2	surveying	talked	taxes	<b>Terry</b> 5:13
supply	39:22	38:7	40:12	7:11
10:2	sympatheti	42:20		7 <b>:</b> 16
29:6	<b>c</b> 21:18	42:21	tear 26:11	11:19
66:18	system	46:2	technologi	
support	7:25 8:2	60:10	es	testify 7:14
13:12	9:7	talking	11:7	11:21
19:18	10:13	37:8	50:16	39:12
20:24	11:2	59:16	53 <b>:</b> 25	61:17
20:25	11:9		technology	61:21
20:25	11:11	talks	9:19	63:8
24:13	28:14	21:15	14:23	63:10
28:14	29:11	38:13	14:25	68:19
59:15	54:13	tar	temperatur	
60:25	54:17	22:24	e	testimony
supported	54:19	24:20	51:12	7:9 7:12
22:14	54:21	target	51:15	12:11 18:2
	54:22	16:2		20:14
supporting 8:5	55:2	19:1	temporaril	41:19
16:25	67 <b>:</b> 5	21:1	<b>y</b> 23:22	52:3
	67:20	63:4	ten 29:19	69:2
supports		targets	tends	
28:10	T	16:1	16:12	<b>Texas</b> 22:8
28:11	<b>table</b> 6:18	17:1	30:6	<b>text</b> 7:2
41:24	52:25	19:4	Tennessee	thank
supposed	tailings	19:7	27:17	7 <b>:</b> 6
33:5	21:22	19:19	48:18	11:19
62:9	taking	19:24		12:19
<b>sure</b> 11:23	6:12	20:24	term	15 <b>:</b> 2
12:13	41:6	36:9	30:1	15 <b>:</b> 8
15:8	47:20	36:10	55:5	15 <b>:</b> 19
15:17	57:4	38:19	terms 8:19	16:16
32:12		38:21	9:22	16:23
1			1	

	Meeting Septer	nber 28, 2009 NRC F	File # 10033-14	Page 106
16:25	58:9	32:20	10:10	14:14
17:3	61:18	34:1	they're	23:11
21:4	61:20	35 <b>:</b> 7	10:4	timeframe
21:5	61:23	37:12	20:1	46:11
21:9	62:6	38:3	22:21	
26:14	63:5	41:6	24:8	time-out
26:18	63:6	47:18	30:11	19:16
27:9	68:18	49:9	33:22	today
27:10	68:20	51:23	39:2	13:18
28:4	69:4	58 <b>:</b> 8	42 <b>:</b> 17	25 <b>:</b> 15
28:5	69:15	58 <b>:</b> 12	43:23	31:20
31:6	69:16	62 <b>:</b> 1	45:21	60:5
31:7	thanks	64 <b>:</b> 21	59:4	Tom 39:8
33:23	7:19	65 <b>:</b> 4	they've	39 <b>:</b> 10
33:24	16:15	66:10	65:24	
36:14	49:23	67 <b>:</b> 24		ton
36:17	61 <b>:</b> 17	68 <b>:</b> 2	<b>third</b> 9:13	38:14
36:19	that'll	68:10	38:10	38:22
37:2		there's	third-	39:3
37:3	29:21	9:10	party	50:25
39:7	that's 8:9	10:22	29:21	50:25
39:8	8:22	11:16		51:4 51:8
39:10	11:14	18:23	thoughtful	51:8
43:4	11:15	19:6	62:13	
43:5	15:14	19:9	69:5	tonight
43:8	16:20	19:10	threat	36 <b>:</b> 22
46:4	17:8	20:12	25 <b>:</b> 20	37 <b>:</b> 8
46:5	17:17	25 <b>:</b> 1	threatens	tool 42:9
48:10	18:14	31:16	14:11	<b>top</b> 56:12
48:12	18:19	31:21	23:7	_
48:14	20:18	31:22	23:9	topdown
49:3	20:19	32:21	throughout	20:11
49:24	20:22	37 <b>:</b> 10	60:24	total
50:3	23:5	37 <b>:</b> 15		52:15
50:5	24:2	38:10	throughput	tough
55:17	28:4	38:12	<b>s</b> 42:1	16:18
55:18 55:19	29:19	38:15	<b>Tier</b> 54:1	65:14
57:14	29:19	49:10	tight	
57:14	31:21	49:10	61:14	toward
58:8	31:23 32:14	66:16		69:9
30.0	32:14	they'll	timber	towards

	Meeting Septen	nber 28, 2009 NRC F	ile # 10033-14	Page 107
23:4	transporta	58 <b>:</b> 20	<b>y</b> 19:14	47 <b>:</b> 7
29:8	tion	Tucson	underestim	52 <b>:</b> 8
30:24	67 <b>:</b> 16	59:17	<b>ate</b> 30:7	56:6
31:15	trash	59 <b>:</b> 18		57 <b>:</b> 24
47:4	25:19	59:22	underestim	Unlike
48:2		turbine	ated	65 <b>:</b> 11
68:9	trees	54:11	30:21	Unlimited
towers	14:15		underneath	59 <b>:</b> 12
54 <b>:</b> 11	23:9 23:15	turn	43:4	
town 43:12	49:18	26:8	underscore	unpaid
		27:1	52 <b>:</b> 4	23:15
toxic 14:7	tremendous	27:1	understand	unpopular
23:3	23:2	<b>turns</b> 28:3	9:8	40:13
23 <b>:</b> 7	trend 48:1	32:13	9:8 10:10	unpreceden
Toyota	48:4	two-and-	14:17	ted
33:21	trigger	a-half-	43:25	18:14
track 49:6	33:4	year	44:2	
trajectory	33:9	36:7	60:2	unsustaina ble
51:10	Trout	types	understand	14:13
51 <b>:</b> 19	59 <b>:</b> 12	42:19	ing	<b>upon</b> 31:18
transcribe	true 23:17		30 <b>:</b> 16	_
<b>d</b> 69:6	57:5	U	35 <b>:</b> 22	urgent
		U.S	38 <b>:</b> 15	64:15
transition	truly 59:4	22 <b>:</b> 15	47:14	68:2
47 <b>:</b> 9	trumpeting	22:16	<b>undo</b> 64:10	usage
transmissi	67 <b>:</b> 10	22:21		59 <b>:</b> 16
<b>on</b> 30:22	trumps	23:1	unfairly	<b>user</b> 21:12
50 <b>:</b> 12	42 <b>:</b> 2	23:2	20:6	utilities
50:19	42:3	unacceptab	20:21	
53:23	truth	le	unfold	11:12 19:3
53:24	42:18	14:6	26 <b>:</b> 7	24:11
54:1		60:22	unfortunat	28:17
54:2	<b>try</b> 9:10	uncertainl	ely	29:20
54:4	36:24		47 <b>:</b> 24	36:9
54:10	trying	<b>y</b> 19:16	unique	36:12
transmitti	7:25 8:3	uncertaint	62:16	37 <b>:</b> 25
<b>ng</b> 31:4	24:18	ies		39:1
transport	24:20	19:13	United	39 <b>:</b> 1
66:5	46:24	uncertaint	47:6	41 <b>:</b> 1
	47:3		University	41:11

	Meeting September 28, 2009 NRC File # 10033-14			
41:20	Veazey	47 <b>:</b> 22	website	29:2
44:11	48:12	48:24	7 <b>:</b> 3	29:23
49:5	48:13	warnings	11:17	30:3
54:21	48:14	26:1	69 <b>:</b> 14	42:22
62:16	V-e-a-z-		<b>we'd</b> 16:24	43:1
utility	е-у	Washington	17:2	46:19
20:14	48:16	20:15	62 <b>:</b> 2	51:19
24:4		25:12	62 <b>:</b> 6	62:24
24:15	VEAZEY	37 <b>:</b> 22	63 <b>:</b> 2	west
28:7	48:13	57:21		24:7
38:24	48:15	61:2	<b>week</b> 44:9	47 <b>:</b> 8
40:16	vector-	61 <b>:</b> 5	weeks 6:16	56 <b>:</b> 5
40:25	borne	wasn't	Welch	56 <b>:</b> 9
44:3	56:23	13:20	16 <b>:</b> 17	57 <b>:</b> 23
50:6	<b>via</b> 69:13	<b>waste</b> 23:3	16:20	western
55:4	View 39:11	wasted	W-e-1-c-	22 <b>:</b> 7
55:7		52 <b>:</b> 23	<b>h</b> 16:20	47 <b>:</b> 6
62:20	viewed	52 <b>:</b> 25		50 <b>:</b> 14
62:23	14:21		WELCH	53 <b>:</b> 20
utilize	viewpoints	<b>Watch</b> 25:6	16:18	wetlands
54:18	15:23	watched	welcome	24:7
	vindicated	59 <b>:</b> 3	5:20 6:2	24:10
	64:24	water	7:19	
valley		32 <b>:</b> 18	we'll 21:3	<b>we've</b> 8:13
44:18	virtually	36 <b>:</b> 20	31:1	17:9
45:16	42:22	48:22	46:2	17:11
65:7	<pre>visit 7:3</pre>	59:19	56 <b>:</b> 19	17:14
value	volunteer	59 <b>:</b> 22	<b>we're</b> 7:25	17:24
53:10	46:8	59 <b>:</b> 25	15:25	18:15
		ways	19:11	20:5
variable		11:1	19:13	20:17
9:6 9:9	wage 66:8	24:24	19:22	37 <b>:</b> 4
variety		66:17	20:6	37:17 41:19
16:10	<b>wait</b> 13:2		20:0	41:19
40:24	waiting	<b>wear</b> 39:12	20:13	
various	37:4	weather	21:21	42:20 42:21
19:2	39:3	56 <b>:</b> 22	22:18	52 <b>:</b> 12
	wake 7:21	weatherize	24:22	59 <b>:</b> 3
Veasey		<b>d</b> 43:17	24:25	68 <b>:</b> 25
48:15	warming		25 <b>:</b> 3	
	46:20	<b>web</b> 7:5		whatever

	Meeting Septer	mber 28, 2009 NRC I	File # 10033-14	Page 109
25:23	16:6	44:12	6:12	57:18
60:19	22:9	word-for-	6:20	zero-
Whereupon	50:19	word	21:2	energy
69:18	53:21	50:11	52 <b>:</b> 3	31:21
whether	53:24		wrong	01111
19:9	54 <b>:</b> 5	work 8:3	16:19	
25:4	54:8	20:1	37 <b>:</b> 15	
	54:8	34:9	www.	
whole	54:11	36:15 37:16	nwcounci	
21:21	54:12	48:23		
33:21	54:24	48:23 55:12	l.org	
58:5	55 <b>:</b> 1	62:7	7:4	
60:24	55 <b>:</b> 3			
wholesale	55:11	worked	<u> </u>	
15:14	55:16	55 <b>:</b> 13	yet	
26:22	68:13	working	32:22	
57 <b>:</b> 12	window	41:10	63:20	
whom 48:23	43:20	41:11	you'll	
	46:18	47 <b>:</b> 1	16:18	
who's 41:9	windows	49:5	40:16	
wide 16:10	43:24	49:8	young 44:2	
wildland	winter	57:22	46:23	
53:7	22:22	workup	47 <b>:</b> 5	
wildlife	43:21	60:7	47 <b>:</b> 8	
8:6		world's	47:10	
	wired	22:24	61:9	
willing	33:21		yourself	
14:3	wish	worse	15:4	
19:16	6 <b>:</b> 20	24:19	41:15	
29:2	28:23	worst		
61:6	witness	46:20	<b>youth</b> 56:3	
Wilson	15 <b>:</b> 3	56:20	62:1	
49:24	wonderful	57 <b>:</b> 13		
wind	56:1	worth	you've	
8:24 9:6		27 <b>:</b> 25	21:7	
9:9 9:12	wonky		32:19	
10:24	64:14	writing	58:23	
11:2	wood 23:17	31:9		
11:10	23:19	43:13	Z	
16:6	36:2	69:12	Zachary	
		written	57 <b>:</b> 15	